

Teaching and Learning Center
University of Nebraska--Lincoln
GSA

General Survey Analysis

Course:	Comp Sci/Eng 4/823	Instructor:	Scott/Zhong
Semester:	Fall 1998	Cards read:	22
Test number:	Faculty Evaluation	Version:	Section

Question	a	b	Responses			n/a	Omits	Subtotal	Mean	Median	Variance
			c	d	e						
1	Clarity of grading policy										
	10	8	1	3	0						
%s	45.5	36.4	4.5	13.6	0.0	0	22	1.86	2.00	1.08	
2	Usefulness of homework in learning class material										
	12	6	4	0	0						
%s	54.5	27.3	18.2	0.0	0.0	0	22	1.64	1.00	0.62	
3	Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material										
	3	2	0	1	0						
%s	50.0	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0	6	1.83	1.00	1.37	
4	Fairness of examinations										
	9	5	4	3	1						
%s	40.9	22.7	18.2	13.6	4.5	0	22	2.18	2.00	1.58	
5	Prompt return of examinations and homework										
	8	6	6	2	0						
%s	36.4	27.3	27.3	9.1	0.0	0	22	2.09	2.00	1.04	
6	Organization and clarity of lectures										
	13	4	4	1	0						
%s	59.1	18.2	18.2	4.5	0.0	0	22	1.68	1.00	0.89	
7	Quality of instructor's responses to questions										
	15	7	0	0	0						
%s	68.2	31.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	22	1.32	1.00	0.23	
8	Availability/willingness of instructor for questions outside of class										
	17	4	1	0	0						
%s	77.3	18.2	4.5	0.0	0.0	0	22	1.27	1.00	0.30	

4/23/823

Question	Responses					Omits	n/a	Subtotal	Mean	Median	Variance
	a	b	c	d	e						
9	Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject										
	14	6	2	0	0						
%s	63.6	27.3	9.1	0.0	0.0	0	22	1.45	1.00	0.45	
10	Amount you learned										
	7	7	6	2	0						
%s	31.8	31.8	27.3	9.1	0.0	0	22	2.14	2.00	0.98	
11	Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest										
	6	9	5	2	0						
%s	27.3	40.9	22.7	9.1	0.0	0	22	2.14	2.00	0.89	
12	Your overall impression of the instructor										
	13	6	1	2	0						
%s	59.1	27.3	4.5	9.1	0.0	0	22	1.64	1.00	0.91	
13	Coordination between lab and class										
	0	0	0	0	0						
%s	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	
14	Usefulness of lab learning in course material										
	1	1	1	1	1						
%s	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	12	5	3.00	3.00	2.50	
15	Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject										
	1	0	0	2	0						
%s	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	12	3	3.00	4.00	3.00	
16	TA's teaching skills										
	0	1	1	1	1						
%s	0.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	12	4	3.50	3.00	1.67	
17	TA's ability to stimulate your interest										
	1	2	1	0	1						
%s	20.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	12	5	2.60	2.00	2.30	
18	Your overall impression TA										
	0	0	0	0	0						
%s	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example : ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	2	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12/9/98
 Course: 423/623
 Instructor: Scott Zurek

Nu.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply

1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

I thought course was good, but tests were a little more tougher than homeworks and covered a lot more in depth than I thought we had covered.

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example : ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date:

Course: CSC E 423/823Instructor: Scott /zhong

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Signature (optional)_____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	2	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12/9/98
 Course: CSC 423/823
 Instructor: Scott / Zhong

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply

1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Signature (optional)_____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example : ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12-9-98

Course: CSE 823

Instructor: Scott / Zhang

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0										
1										
2										

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

Need to work on length and involvement of
homework assignments (too much)

need to work on length & involvement
of exams (too long).

2. Your opinion of the textbook

C. L. R. - good book

Linear Programming Book - Terrible.

Signature (optional) _____

Did not have pencil.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example : ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12/9/98
 Course: LSCE 423/823
 Instructor: Scott/Zhang

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

	Ex- cellent	Average				Poor	Does Not Apply
1 <u>PART I: Class Organization</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
2 1. Clarity of grading policy	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
5 4. Fairness of examinations	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
7 <u>PART II: Classroom Communication</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
13 <u>PART III: Student's Learning Experience</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
15 2. Amount you learned	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
18 <u>PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
19 1. Coordination between lab and class	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
22 4. TA's teaching skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
24 6. Your overall impression of TA	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
25	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
26 Please continue on the back side...	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
27	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

Theory classes ~~are~~, in general, are difficult for me. This class was no exception. The professor taught the class pretty well, but the material was just ^{hard} for me to understand. One suggestion I would have is to make it possible to hand in late work. That hurt me a lot.

2. Your opinion of the textbook

I have used it before and get along with it fine. It covers a lot of stuff.

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	2	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12/9/98
 Course: CSCI 423
 Instructor: Scott/Zhong

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
100										
101										
102										
103										
104										
105										
106										
107										
108										
109										

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

	Ex- cellent	Average				Poor	Does Not Apply
1 <u>PART I: Class Organization</u>	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
2 1. Clarity of grading policy	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
5 4. Fairness of examinations	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
7 <u>PART II: Classroom Communication</u>	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
13 <u>PART III: Student's Learning Experience</u>	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
15 2. Amount you learned	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
18 <u>PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)</u>	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
19 1. Coordination between lab and class	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
22 4. TA's teaching skills	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
24 6. Your overall impression of TA	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
25	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
26 Please continue on the back side...	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	
27	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A	

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

Exams were way too long for time period. I really appreciated the amount of time the instructor was willing to spend outside of class with students.

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Good for class as well as a reference for outside of class.

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: _____

Course: SCS 423Instructor: Scott / Zhang

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

Dr. Scott was always willing
to answer questions outside
of class.

However, homeworks were so difficult
as to require that you see him
outside of class.

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Great textbook

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12-9-98
 Course: CSCE 423/823
 Instructor: Scott/Zhang
 call # 4005/8006

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

		Ex- cellent	Average			Poor	Does Not Apply
1	PART I: Class Organization	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
2	1. Clarity of grading policy	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
3	2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
4	3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
5	4. Fairness of examinations	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
6	5. Prompt return of examinations and homework	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
7	PART II: Classroom Communication	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
8	1. Organization and clarity of lectures	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
9	2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
10	3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
11	4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
12	5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
13	PART III: Student's Learning Experience	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
14	1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
15	2. Amount you learned	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
16	3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
17	4. Your overall impression of the instructor	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
18	PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
19	1. Coordination between lab and class	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
20	2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
21	3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
22	4. TA's teaching skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
23	5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
24	6. Your overall impression of TA	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
25		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
26	Please continue on the back side...	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
27		1	2	3	4	5	N/A

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

This is a very complex topic and covers many different theories and ideas.

The prerequisites, I don't believe are enough for a full understanding of this course. It is difficult when this is your first "theory" class as a senior.

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Signature (optional) _____

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example : ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
0	2	0	2

Date:

Course: CSC E 423 / 823

Instructor: Scott Zhang

[illegible]

Excellent	Average	Poor	Does Not Apply
-----------	---------	------	----------------

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3. 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. **Coordination between lab and class**

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

Please continue on the back side...

27

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

good

2. Your opinion of the textbook

It's OK

Signature (optional)_____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12-9-98
 Course: LSCE 423/823
 Instructor: Scott
Sec 001

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
5										
0										
0										
8										

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

Optional Linear Programming
book was hard to read.

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Very Good

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: Dec 9th, 1998Course: CS423-001Instructor: Dr. Scott

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

1

2

3

4

5

N/A

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

Enjoyed the course

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Decent, often not very good at explaining things though

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12/9

Course: CSCI 423/823

Instructor: scott/zhong

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

*very good course.
very good instructor. young, nice & patient.
We need more instructors like Dr Scott.*

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Excellent

Signature (optional)_____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example : ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12-9-98
 Course: CSC 423/828
 Instructor: Scott (2nd TA)

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0										
1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

Dr. Scott did an excellent job teaching this course.

I liked the student homework grading idea, and to some degree the dumb-question-asking.

This was one of the best CS classes I've had here!

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Excellent

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example : ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: Dec. 9, 98
 Course: CSC 423/823
 Instructor: Scott/Zhong

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

		Ex- cellent	Average			Poor	Does Not Apply
1	PART I: Class Organization	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
2	1. Clarity of grading policy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
3	2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
4	3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
5	4. Fairness of examinations	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
6	5. Prompt return of examinations and homework	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
7	PART II: Classroom Communication	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
8	1. Organization and clarity of lectures	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
9	2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
10	3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
11	4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
12	5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
13	PART III: Student's Learning Experience	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
14	1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
15	2. Amount you learned	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
16	3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
17	4. Your overall impression of the instructor	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
18	PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
19	1. Coordination between lab and class	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
20	2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
21	3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
22	4. TA's teaching skills	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
23	5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
24	6. Your overall impression of TA	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
25		<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
26	Please continue on the back side...	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A
27		<input checked="" type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

Good, useful, too time consuming

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Good

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12/09/98
 Course: CSCF 423/223
 Instructor: Scott / Zhong

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0										
1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply

1 **PART I: Class Organization**

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 **PART II: Classroom Communication**

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 **PART III: Student's Learning Experience**

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 **PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)**

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2

Date: 12-9-98

Course: CSE 423

Instructor: Scott / Zhang

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
5										
0										
0										
8										

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

maybe too difficult in some areas. Teacher seems unsure of himself at times.

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Good

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	2	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12.9.98
 Course: CSCE 823
 Instructor: Scott / Zhong

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1										
2										
3										
4										

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

	Ex- cellent	Average				Poor	Does Not Apply
1 <u>PART I: Class Organization</u>	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
2 1. Clarity of grading policy	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	<input type="radio"/>	
5 4. Fairness of examinations	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
7 <u>PART II: Classroom Communication</u>	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
13 <u>PART III: Student's Learning Experience</u>	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
15 2. Amount you learned	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
18 <u>PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)</u>	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	<input type="radio"/>	
19 1. Coordination between lab and class	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	<input type="radio"/>	
20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	<input type="radio"/>	
21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
22 4. TA's teaching skills	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	<input type="radio"/>	
23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	<input type="radio"/>	
24 6. Your overall impression of TA	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
25	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
26 Please continue on the back side...	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	
27	<input type="radio"/>	2	3	4	5	N/A	

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Very good!

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example : ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12/9/98
 Course: CSC 423/523
 Instructor: Scott

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

26 Please continue on the back side...

27

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

Great course, however it would help a lot to have actual programming assignments to show how the algorithms actually work. Also the tests were sometimes too long. But the course was taught well.

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Good, lots of information but at some times confusing and technical.

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: 12-9-99
 Course: OSCE 423/823
 Instructor: Scott Zhang

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
5										
0										
0										
8										

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

	Ex- cellent	Average				Poor	Does Not Apply
1 <u>PART I: Class Organization</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
2 1. Clarity of grading policy	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
5 4. Fairness of examinations	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
7 <u>PART II: Classroom Communication</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
13 <u>PART III: Student's Learning Experience</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
15 2. Amount you learned	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
18 <u>PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
19 1. Coordination between lab and class	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
22 4. TA's teaching skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
24 6. Your overall impression of TA	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
25	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
26 Please continue on the back side...	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
27	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

this course moved a little fast for me. Check the number of students who dropped and the number of extra credit opportunities the professor had to give, Maybe it moved too fast for others as well. I also have a minor objection to the high value placed on homework. It places students who choose not to "collaborate" at a severe disadvantage. I did appreciate the verve Professor Scott brought to lecture everyday.

2. Your opinion of the textbook

It's too heavy. Thorough though.

Signature (optional)

Scott Lewandowski

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example : ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
0	2	0	2

Date: Dec. 1, 98
Course: CSC E 423/823
Instructor: Scott

No.		
0	0	0
1	1	1
2	2	2
3	3	3
4	4	4
5	5	5
6	6	6
7	7	7
8	8	8
9	9	9

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.		Excellent	Average			Poor	Does Not Apply
1	<u>PART I: Class Organization</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
2	1. Clarity of grading policy	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
3	2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
4	3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
5	4. Fairness of examinations	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
6	5. Prompt return of examinations and homework	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
7	<u>PART II: Classroom Communication</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
8	1. Organization and clarity of lectures	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
9	2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
10	3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
11	4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
12	5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
13	<u>PART III: Student's Learning Experience</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
14	1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
15	2. Amount you learned	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
16	3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
17	4. Your overall impression of the instructor	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
18	<u>PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)</u>	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
19	1. Coordination between lab and class	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
20	2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
21	3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
22	4. TA's teaching skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
23	5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
24	6. Your overall impression of TA	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
25		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
26	Please continue on the back side...	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
27		1	2	3	4	5	N/A

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

A little bit less of the Linear
Programming.

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Good.

Signature (optional)_____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example: ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date: DEC 9, 18
 Course: CSC 422/822
 Instructor: Scott Zhong

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.		Excellent	Average				Poor	Does Not Apply
1	PART I: Class Organization	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
2	1. Clarity of grading policy	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
3	2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
4	3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
5	4. Fairness of examinations	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
6	5. Prompt return of examinations and homework	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
7	PART II: Classroom Communication	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
8	1. Organization and clarity of lectures	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
9	2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
10	3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
11	4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
12	5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
13	PART III: Student's Learning Experience	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
14	1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
15	2. Amount you learned	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
16	3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
17	4. Your overall impression of the instructor	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
18	PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
19	1. Coordination between lab and class	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
20	2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
21	3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
22	4. TA's teaching skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
23	5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
24	6. Your overall impression of TA	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
25		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
26	Please continue on the back side...	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
27		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Signature (optional) _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Use number 2 pencil only.
2. Erase mistakes completely.
3. Mark ticket number of class in box in upper-right, example : ticket no. 2120.

No.			
2	1	2	0
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2

Date:

12/9/98

Course:

Dr. Scott CSE 23

Instructor:

No.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0										
0										
0										
00										

Indicate the correct response by filling in the appropriate circle completely.

Ex-
cellent

Average

Poor

Does
Not
Apply1 PART I: Class Organization

2 1. Clarity of grading policy

3 2. Usefulness of homework in learning class material

4 3. Usefulness of programming assignments in learning course material

5 4. Fairness of examinations

6 5. Prompt return of examinations and homework

7 PART II: Classroom Communication

8 1. Organization and clarity of lectures

9 2. Quality of instructor's responses to questions

10 3. Availability and willingness of instructor for questions outside of class

11 4. Instructor's treatment of the students was fair and respectful

12 5. Students' treatment of the instructor was fair and respectful

13 PART III: Student's Learning Experience

14 1. Instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject

15 2. Amount you learned

16 3. Instructor's ability to stimulate your interest

17 4. Your overall impression of the instructor

18 PART IV: Laboratory (When Applicable)

19 1. Coordination between lab and class

20 2. Usefulness of lab learning in course material

21 3. Teaching assistant's apparent knowledge of the subject

22 4. TA's teaching skills

23 5. TA's ability to stimulate your interest

24 6. Your overall impression of TA

25

Please continue on the back side...

27

NOTE: Please do not write above the black lines on top!

1. General comments on the course

Nice & tough.

2. Your opinion of the textbook

Signature (optional)_____