

Transactional Memory: An Overview (part II)

Written by Harris et al.

Another Example

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1

```
...  
down(mutex);  
a = a + 20;  
b = b - 10;  
c = c - b;  
up(mutex);  
...
```

T2

```
...  
down(mutex);  
b = b + 20;  
c = c + b;  
up(mutex);  
...
```

Another Example

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1

```
...  
down(mutex);  
a = a + 20;  
b = b - 10;  
c = c - b;  
up(mutex);  
...
```

T2

```
...  
down(mutex);  
b = b + 20;  
c = c + b;  
up(mutex);  
...
```

if T1 before T2

$a = 40$

$b = 60$

$c = 20$

if T2 before T1

$a = 40$

$b = 60$

$c = 10$

Another Example

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1	T2
...	...
begin TX	begin TX
$a = a + 20;$	$b = b + 20;$
$b = b - 10;$	$c = c + b;$
$c = c - b;$	end TX
end TX	...
...	

if T1 commits before T2

$a = 40$

$b = 70$

$c = 70$

if T2 commits before T1

$a = 40$

$b = 40$

$c = -50$

Another Example (eager)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1

```
...  
begin TX  
a = a + 20;  
b = b - 10;  
c = c - b;  
end TX  
...
```

T2

```
...  
begin TX  
b = b + 20;  
c = c + b;  
end TX  
...
```

Another Example (eager)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1
RS
 $a = 20$
...
begin TX
 $a = a + 20;$
 $b = b - 10;$
 $c = c - b;$
end TX
WS
 $a = 40$
...

T2
RS
...
begin TX
 $b = b + 20;$
 $c = c + b;$
end TX
WS
...

Another Example (eager)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

```
T1
...
begin TX
a = a + 20;
b = b - 10;
c = c - b;
end TX
...
```

RS
a = 20
b = 50

WS
a = 40
b = 40

```
T2
...
begin TX
b = b + 20;
c = c + b;
end TX
...
```

RS

WS

Another Example (eager)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1	RS
...	$a = 20$
begin TX	$b = 50$
$a = a + 20;$	$b = 40$
$b = b - 10;$	$c = 0$
$c = c - b;$	WS
end TX	$a = 40$
...	$b = 40$
	$c = -40$

T2	RS
...	$b = 40$
begin TX	
$b = b + 20;$	
$c = c + b;$	WS
end TX	
...	

Another Example (eager)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1
...
begin TX
 $a = a + 20;$
 $b = b - 10;$
 $c = c - b;$
end TX
...

RS
 $a = 20$
 $b = 50$
 $c = 0$
WS
 $a = 40$
 $b = 40$
 $c = -40$

Should we abort T2?

T2
...
begin TX
 $b = b + 20;$
 $c = c + b;$
end TX
...

RS
 $b = 40$
WS

Another Example (eager)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1	RS
...	$a = 20$
begin TX	$b = 50$
$a = a + 20;$	$b = 40$
$b = b - 10;$	$c = 0$
$c = c - b;$	WS
end TX	$a = 40$
...	$b = 40$
	$c = -40$

T2	RS
...	$b = 40$
begin TX	
$b = b + 20;$	
$c = c + b;$	WS
end TX	$b = 60$
...	

Another Example (eager)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1	RS
...	$a = 20$
begin TX	$b = 50$
$a = a + 20;$	$b = 40$
$b = b - 10;$	$c = 0$
$c = c - b;$	WS
end TX	$a = 40$
...	$b = 40$
	$c = -40$

T2	RS
...	$b = 40$
begin TX	$b = 60$
$b = b + 20;$	$c = -40$
$c = c + b;$	WS
end TX	$b = 60$
...	$c = 20$

Another Example (eager)

— [T1 commits first so the result in T2 is fine.

— [What happen to both transactions if T2 commits first?

Another Example (eager)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

slightly behind T1

```
T1
...
begin TX
a = a + 20;
b = b - 10;
c = c - b;
end TX
...
```

RS
a = 20
b = 50

WS
a = 40

```
T2
...
begin TX
b = b + 20;
c = c + b;
end TX
...
```

RS
b = 50

WS

Another Example (eager)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

```
T1
...
begin TX
a = a + 20;
b = b - 10;
c = c - b;
end TX
...
```

RS
a = 20
b = 50

WS
a = 40
b = 40

```
T2
...
begin TX
b = b + 20;
c = c + b;
end TX
...
```

RS
b = 50

WS

Another Example (eager)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1

RS
 $a = 20$
 $b = 50$

...

begin TX

$a = a + 20;$

$b = b - 10;$

WS
 $a = 40$
 $b = 40$

$c = c - b;$

end TX

...

Abort T2

T2

RS
 $b = 50$

...

begin TX

$b = b + 20;$

WS
 $c = c + b;$

end TX

...

Another Example (lazy)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1	RS
...	$a = 20$
begin TX	$b = 50$
$a = a + 20;$	$b = 40$
$b = b - 10;$	$c = 0$
$c = c - b;$	WS
end TX	$a = 40$
...	$b = 40$
	$c = -40$

T2	RS
...	$b = 50$
begin TX	
$b = b + 20;$	
$c = c + b;$	WS
end TX	$b = 70$
...	

Another Example (lazy)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1	RS
...	$a = 20$
begin TX	$b = 50$
$a = a + 20;$	$b = 40$
$b = b - 10;$	$c = 0$
$c = c - b;$	WS
end TX	$a = 40$
...	$b = 40$
	$c = -40$
	Commit T1

T2	RS
...	$b = 50$
begin TX	$b = 70$
$b = b + 20;$	$c = 0$
$c = c + b;$	WS
end TX	$b = 70$
...	$c = -70$

Another Example (lazy)

$a = 20, b = 50, c = 0$

T1	RS
...	$a = 20$
begin TX	$b = 50$
$a = a + 20;$	$b = 40$
$b = b - 10;$	$c = 0$
$c = c - b;$	WS
end TX	$a = 40$
...	$b = 40$
	$c = -40$

T2	RS
...	$b = 50$
begin TX	$b = 70$
$b = b + 20;$	$c = 0$
$c = c + b;$	WS
end TX	$b = 70$
...	$c = -70$
	Abort T2

Hardware TM

- [Minimalist

- modifying cache consistency protocol

- extending instruction set architecture

- keep speculative state in a buffer

Hardware TM

- [ISA support

- delimiter instructions (STR and ETR)
- special load and store (TLD and TST)
- abort and validation (ABR and VLD)
 - VLD is used for eager versioning

Hardware TM

- [Buffer or cache modifications

- store speculative states in hardware buffer or extended cache

- word level or cache-line level

Hardware TM

- [Herlihy and Moss

- read set and write set in data cache

- transactional cache

- two additional bits per cache line

- discard pre-transaction values or discard speculative values

Software TM

- [Two approaches

- separation of ordinary data and transactional data

- all data are ordinary but separate metadata structure for transactional data

Software TM

- [Transactional data

- store in object headers

- special methods (openforread, openforwrite) to dynamically build read set and write set

- private shadow copy of each object for each transaction

Software TM

- [Metadata for transactional objects

- special methods (openforreading, openforwriting) to track transactional accesses to ordinary objects

Software TM

- [Detecting conflicts

- two-phase locking

- acquire lock at the beginning of transaction and relinquish lock at the end

- hybrid

- lock on write, version control on read

Summary

- [Relieve the programmer's burden of coordinating parallelism

- offload the responsibility to runtime systems

- conflict detection and resolution

- [Can be implemented in hardware and software

- [More details to follow in subsequent meetings