JOHN M. HITCHCOCK, University of Wyoming A. PAVAN, Iowa State University N. V. VINODCHANDRAN, University of Nebraska-Lincoln

We clarify the role of Kolmogorov complexity in the area of randomness extraction. We show that a computable function is an almost randomness extractor if and only if it is a Kolmogorov complexity extractor, thus establishing a fundamental equivalence between two forms of extraction studied in the literature: Kolmogorov extraction and randomness extraction. We present a distribution \mathcal{M}_k based on Kolmogorov complexity that is complete for randomness extraction in the sense that a computable function is an almost randomness extractor if and only if it extracts randomness from \mathcal{M}_k .

Categories and Subject Descriptors: F.1.2 [Computation by Abstract Devices]: Modes of Computation— Probabilistic computation

General Terms: Theory, Algorithms

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Randomness, extractors, Kolmogorov complexity

ACM Reference Format:

Hitchcock, J. M., Pavan, A., and Vinodchandran, N. V. 2011. Kolmogorov complexity in randomness extraction. ACM Trans. Comput. Theory 3, 1, Article 1 (August 2011), 12 pages. DOI = 10.1145/2003685.2003686 http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2003685.2003686

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of extracting pure randomness from weak random sources has received intense attention in the last two decades, producing several exciting results. The main goal in this topic is to give explicit constructions of functions that are known as *ran*-*domness extractors*; functions that output almost pure random bits given samples from a weak source of randomness which may be correlated and biased. Randomness extractors have found applications in several areas of theoretical computer science including complexity theory and cryptography. The body of work on randomness extractors is vast and we do not attempt to list them here. Instead, we refer the readers to survey articles by Nisan and Ta-Shma [1999] and Shaltiel [2004], and Rao's thesis [Rao 2006] for an extensive exposition on the topic (with the caveat that some of the recent advances are not reported in these articles).

© 2011 ACM 1942-3454/2011/08-ART1 \$10.00

DOI 10.1145/2003685.2003686 http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2003685.2003686

The research of J. M. Hitchcock was supported in part by NSF grants 0515313 and 0652601. The research of A. Pavan was supported in part by NSF grant 0830479. The research of N. V. Vinodchandran was supported in part by NSF grant 0830730.

Authors' addresses: J. M. Hitchcock, Department of Computer Science, University of Wyoming; email: jhitchco@cs.uwyo.edu; A. Pavan, Department of Computer Science, Iowa State University; email: pavan@cs.iastate.edu; N. V. Vinodchandran, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Nebraska-Lincoln; email: vinod@cse.unl.edu.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies show this notice on the first page or initial screen of a display along with the full citation. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, to redistribute to lists, or to use any component of this work in other works requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Permissions may be requested from Publications Dept., ACM, Inc., 2 Penn Plaza, Suite 701, New York, NY 10121-0701 USA, fax +1 (212) 869-0481, or permissions@acm.org.

We will focus on a type of randomness extractors known as *multisource extractors*. These are multi-input functions with the property that if the inputs come from independent distributions with certain guaranteed randomness, typically measured by their *min-entropy*, then the output distribution will be close to the uniform distribution. If the function takes k inputs, they are called k-source extractors. We will further focus on 2-source extractors, noting that the discussion as well as the results we prove also hold for k-source extractors for any $k \geq 2$. A distribution over *n*-bit strings is said to have min-entropy k, if all elements in the support of the distribution has a probability $\leq 2^{-k}$. A function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}^m$ is a 2-source extractor for min-entropy k if for any two independent distributions X and Y on $\{0, 1\}^n$ with min-entropy k, the output f(X, Y) is statistically close to the uniform distribution. It is known that such extractors exist for all min-entropy levels with optimal parameters [Chor and Goldreich 1988], but explicitly constructing 2-source extractors for sources with low min-entropy is a very active research question [Bourgain 2005; Kalai et al. 2009; Rao 2006, 2008].

While min-entropy characterizes the amount of randomness present in a probability distribution, Kolmogorov complexity characterizes the amount of randomness present in *individual strings*. The Kolmogorov complexity of a string x, denoted by K(x), is the the length of the shortest program that outputs x. If K(x) = m, then x can be viewed as containing m bits of randomness. A string x is Kolmogorov random if its Kolmogorov complexity is close to the length of x. A natural notion that arises is that of *Kolmogorov extractors*: explicit functions that extract Kolmogorov complexity from strings that need not be Kolmogorov random. More formally, a 2-string Kolmogorov extractor for complexity k is a function $f: \Sigma^n \times \Sigma^n \to \Sigma^m$ such that K(f(x, y)) is close to m whenever $K(x), K(y) \ge k$ and x and y are Kolmogorov independent $(K(xy) \simeq K(x) + K(y))$. Kolmogorov extractors have recently been of interest to researchers [Buhrman et al. 2005; Fortnow et al. 2011; Zimand 2009, 2010]. One of the main observations that emerged from this research is that a randomness extractor is also a Kolmogorov extractor. In particular, Fortnow et al. [2011] show that the construction due to Barak et al. [2006] of a multisource extractor is also a Kolmogorov extractor. Zimand takes this approach further and gives constructions of Kolmogorov extractors in other settings [Zimand 2009, 2010]. Thus, this line of research uses randomness extractors as a tool in Kolmogorov complexity research. However, the role of Komogorov complexity in the area of randomness extraction has not yet been explored by researchers. We take a step in this direction.

We ask the following question. Is it true that a Kolmogorov extractor is also a randomness extractor? While randomness extractors concern information-theoretic randomness, Kolmogorov extractors concern computational randomness. Thus intuitively it appears that Kolmogorov extractors are weaker objects than randomness extractors. Moreover, if we use the strict definition of extraction, it is easy to come up with a counterexample to this converse. Let f be a Kolmogorov extractor, then $f \circ 1$ (output of f concatenated with bit 1) is also a Kolmogorov extractor. But $f \circ 1$ is not a randomness extractor for any function f because it never outputs 50% of the strings, that is, strings that end with 0. The reason for this counterexample is that any Kolmogorov complexity measure is precise only up to a small additive term. Consequently, a string x of length n is considered Kolmogorov random even if its Kolmogorov complexity is only n - a(n) for a slow growing function a(n) such as a constant multiple of log n [Fortnow et al. 2011]. Thus a more fruitful question is to ask whether a Kolmogorov extractor is also an *almost* randomness extractor. An almost randomness extractor is like a traditional randomness extractor except that we only require the output of an almost extractor to be close to a distribution with min-entropy $m - O(\log n)$. For a traditional extractor, the output has to be close to the uniform distribution, that is, the only

ACM Transactions on Computation Theory, Vol. 3, No. 1, Article 1, Publication date: August 2011.

1:2

distribution with min-entropy *m*. Such almost extractors have been considered in the literature (see for example the work of Rao [2008]).

Our first contribution is to show an equivalence between Kolmogorov extraction and the previously mentioned slightly relaxed notion of randomness extraction. The following statement is very informal and Section 3 is devoted to giving a precise statement with a proof.

Result 1. A computable function f is a Kolmogorov extractor if and only if f is an almost randomness extractor.

A randomness extractor is a universal object in the sense that it should extract randomness from *all* distributions with certain min-entropy. Can this universality be shifted to a distribution? That is, is there a distribution D so that a computable function f is an extractor if and only if f extracts randomness from D? We call such a distribution a *complete* distribution for randomness extraction. Kolmogorov complexity has proved useful in the discovery of distributions with a similar universality property in other areas of computer science including average-case analysis [Li and Vitányi 1992] and learning theory [Li and Vitányi 1991].

Our second contribution is to present a complete distribution, based on Kolmogorov complexity, for randomness extraction. Fix an input length n. For a number k consider the distribution \mathcal{M}_k that puts uniform weight on all strings of length n with Kolmogorov complexity $\leq k$. Motivated by the proof of our first result we show that the distribution \mathcal{M}_k is a complete distribution for almost extractors. The following statement is informal and the full details are in Section 4.

Result 2. For any k, there is a $k' = k + O(\log n)$ so that $\mathcal{M}_{k'}$ is complete for almost extractors with min-entropy parameter k.

2. PRELIMINARIES, DEFINITIONS, AND BASIC RESULTS

Kolmogorov Extractors. We only review the essentials of Kolmogorov complexity and refer to the textbook by Li and Vitányi [1997] for a thorough treatment of the subject. For a string $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, l(x) denotes the length of x. We use the following standard encoding function where a pair $\langle x, y \rangle$ is encoded as $1^{l(l(x))} 0l(x)xy$. By viewing $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ as $\langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle$, this encoding can be extended to 3-tuples (and similarly for any *k*-tuple).

Let *U* be a universal Turing machine. Then for any string $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, the Kolmogorov complexity of *x* is defined as

$$K(x) = \min\{l(p) \mid U(p) = x\},\$$

that is, the length of a shortest program p that causes U to print x and halt. If we restrict the set of programs to be prefix-free, then the corresponding measure is known as prefix-free Kolmogorov complexity. These two complexity measures only differ by an additive logarithmic factor. We will work with the previously defined standard measure. Since we are flexible about additive logarithmic factors in this article, our results will hold with the prefix-free version also.

Kolmogorov extractors are computable functions which convert strings that have a guaranteed amount of Kolmogorov complexity into a Kolmogorov random string. We give a general definition of Kolmogorov extractors involving a parameter for *dependency* between the input strings. Consequently, instead of aiming for maximum complexity in the output string, we will consider extractors which lose an additive factor equal to the dependency in the inputs. The following notion of dependency we use is equivalent to the well-studied notion of mutual information in the Kolmogorov complexity literature up to an additive log factor. However, we prefer to use the term dependency in this article.

Definition 2.1 (Dependency). For two strings x and y of the same length, the dependency between x and y is

$$dep(xy) = K(x) + K(y) - K(xy).$$

Definition 2.2 (Kolmogorov Extractor). An (n, m(n), k(n), a(n)) Kolmogorov extractor is a uniformly computable family $\{f_n\}_n$ of functions $f_n : \Sigma^n \times \Sigma^n \to \Sigma^{m(n)}$ where there is a constant *c* such that for all *n*, for all $x, y \in \Sigma^n$ with $K(x) \ge k(n)$, $K(y) \ge k(n)$, and $dep(xy) \le a(n)$, we have

$$K(f_n(x, y)) \ge m(n) - \operatorname{dep}(xy) - c \log n.$$

The computability restriction is required to make the definition nontrivial. Otherwise it is easy to come up with Kolmogorov extractors: for any pair of inputs at length n, just output a fixed string of length m(n) that has maximal Kolmogorov complexity.

Randomness Extractors. Randomness extractors are functions which convert weak random sources to a distribution that is statistically close to the uniform distribution. A weak random source is characterized by its min-entropy which is defined as follows.

Definition 2.3. For a probability distribution X over a universe S, the *min-entropy* of X is

$$-\log\left(\max_{s\in S} X(s)\right) = \min_{s\in S}\left(\log\frac{1}{X(s)}\right).$$

Here we are writing X(s) for the probability that distribution X assigns to outcome s. For an event $T \subseteq S$, $X(T) = \sum_{s \in T} X(s)$ is the probability of T under X.

Definition 2.4. For any two distributions X and Y on a universe S, their statistical distance |X - Y| is

$$|X - Y| = \max_{T \subseteq S} \left| X(T) - Y(T) \right| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} \left| X(s) - Y(s) \right|.$$

If $|X - Y| \le \epsilon$, we say *X* and *Y* are ϵ -close to each other.

Definition 2.5 (Almost Randomness Extractor). An $(n, m(n), k(n), \epsilon(n))$ almost randomness extractor is a family $\{f_n\}_n$ of functions $f_n : \Sigma^n \times \Sigma^n \to \Sigma^{m(n)}$ where there is a constant c such that for all n, for every pair of independent distributions X and Y over Σ^n with min-entropy at least k(n), the distribution $f_n(X, Y)$ is $\epsilon(n)$ -close to a distribution with min-entropy at least $m(n) - c \log n$. Moreover, f is uniformly computable.

A distribution X over Σ^n is called a *flat distribution* if it is uniform over some subset of Σ^n . For a flat distribution X, we will use X also to denote the support of the distribution X. The following useful theorem due to Chor and Goldreich [1988] states that every function that extracts randomness from flat distributions is a randomness extractor.

THEOREM 2.6 [CHOR AND GOLDREICH 1988]. Let f be a function from $\Sigma^n \times \Sigma^n$ to Σ^m . Suppose for every pair of independent flat distributions X and Y with min-entropy k, f(X, Y) is ϵ -close to having min-entropy $m - c \log n$. Then f is an (n, m, k, ϵ) almost randomness extractor.

Let D be a distribution over Σ^m induced by a distribution over $\Sigma^n \times \Sigma^n$. That is, D is the output distribution of some function $f : \Sigma^n \times \Sigma^n \to \Sigma^m$ over some input distribution. We call D a *nice distribution* if for all $z \in \Sigma^m$, D(z) is a rational number of the form p/q with $q \leq 2^{2n}$. This restriction allows us to effectively cycle through all nice

distributions. For any distribution D with min-entropy k, there is a nice distribution D' with the same min-entropy so that the statistical distance between D and D' is at most $1/2^n$. Because of this we will assume that distributions are nice whenever necessary.

The following lemma due to Guruswami et al. [2009] is useful to obtain a bound on the min-entropy of a distribution. We will state it for nice distributions although the original statement and the proof do not have such a restriction. Their proof can be easily modified to prove this case also.

LEMMA 2.7 [GURUSWAMI ET AL. 2009]. Let D be a nice distribution and s be an integer. Suppose that for every set S of size s, $D(S) \leq \epsilon$. Then D is ϵ -close to a nice distribution with min-entropy at least $\log(s/\epsilon)$.

Remarks and Clarifications. Although it is typical requirement for the extractors to be efficiently computable, the only requirement we need in our proofs is that the extractors are computable. Hence, we will not mention any resource restrictions here. Here we only focus on extractors with 2 inputs. The connection we prove here also holds for extractors with *l* inputs for any constant $l \ge 2$ with identical proofs. Although the parameters in the definition of the extractors depend on the input length *n*, we will omit it in the rest of the article. For instance, a (n, m(n), k(n), a(n)) Kolmogorov extractor will be denoted as an (n, m, k, a) extractor. In addition, we also assume that the parameters that depend on input length *n* are computable functions of *n*. Finally, henceforth by a randomness extractor we mean an almost randomness extractor unless otherwise mentioned.

Why is there a dependency parameter in the definition of Kolmogorov extractor? Our aim is to establish a tight connection between randomness extractors and Kolmogorov extractors. Randomness extractors typically have four parameters: input length n, output length m, min-entropy bound k, and the error parameter ϵ . Except for the error parameter, there is an obvious mapping of parameters between Kolmogorov and randomness extractors. But there appears to be no natural notion of "error" in Kolmogorov extraction. What is a choice for the parameter in the definition of Kolmogorov extractor analogous to the error parameter? Our theorems indicate that the dependency is a good choice.

3. THE EQUIVALENCE

3.1. Kolmogorov Extractor is a Randomness Extractor

In this section we show that for appropriate settings of parameters, a Kolmogorov extractor is also a randomness extractor. First we will give a simple argument for the special case when the dependency parameter is $O(\log n)$. In this case we get a inverse polynomial error for the randomness extractor. We will only give a sketch of the proof since the subsequent theorem for the general case subsumes this case.

A Special Case. The proof of this special case is a simple application of the following well-known coding theorem.

THEOREM 3.1 (CODING THEOREM). Let D be a probability distribution over $\{0, 1\}^*$ that is computable by a program P. Then there is a constant c such that

$$rac{1}{2^{K(x)}} \geq rac{c}{2^{|P|}} D(x).$$

THEOREM 3.2. Let f be a (n, m, k, a) Kolmogorov extractor with $a = O(\log n)$. Then f is a (n, m, k', ϵ) almost randomness extractor where $k' = k + O(\log n)$ and $\epsilon = 1/\text{poly}(n)$.

PROOF. (Sketch). Let *c* be the constant associated with the Kolmogorov extractor *f*. That is, $K(f(x, y)) \ge m - c \log n - \deg(xy)$ provided $K(x) \ge k$, $K(y) \ge k$, and $\deg(xy) \le \alpha$.

We will show that for every pair of flat distributions X and Y with min-entropy k', f(X, Y) is ϵ -close to a nice distribution with min-entropy at least $m - (c+6) \log n$. Then by Theorem 2.6, it will follow that f is an almost randomness extractor for min-entropy k'. For the purpose of contradiction, suppose there are flat distributions X and Y with min-entropy k' so that f(X, Y) is ϵ far from all nice distributions with min-entropy at least $m - (c+6) \log n$. Let X and Y be the first such distributions (in some fixed ordering of distributions).

The number of flat distributions with min-entropy k' is finite, and the number of nice distributions over Σ^m with min-entropy at least $m - (c+6) \log n$ is also finite. Thus there is a program p which, given n as input, produces the distributions X and Y. Thus the size of p is at most $2 \log n$ for large enough n. Let D denote the distribution f(X, Y).

The idea of the rest proof is as follows. Consider the following set *S*.

$$S = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y \mid K(x) \ge k, K(y) \ge k, \text{ and } dep(xy) \le 10 \log n \}$$

First using a simple counting argument it is easy to show that S is a large set and hence probability of the complement of S with respect to $X \times Y$ is small. Since f is a Kolmogorov extractor, for all elements $(x, y) \in S$, K(z) is close to m where z = f(x, y). Since D is computable, by the coding theorem, it follows that $D(z) \leq m - O(\log n)$. Thus, except for a small fraction of strings in $f(\overline{S})$, the strings in the range of f satisfy the min-entropy condition. Hence D must be close to a distribution with min-entropy $m - c \log n$.

3.1.1. The General Case. We now state and prove the theorem for a general setting of parameters. The proof follows the line of argument of the proof of the special case. But we will use Lemma 2.7 instead of the coding theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. Let f be a (n, m, k, α) Kolmogorov extractor. Then f is an (n, m, k', ϵ) almost randomness extractor where:

(a) if
$$k' - k > \alpha - 4\log n + 1$$
, then $\epsilon \le \frac{1}{2^{\alpha - 4\log n - 1}}$.
(b) if $k' - k \le \alpha - 4\log n + 1$, then $\epsilon \le \frac{1}{2^{k' - k - 2}}$.

PROOF. Let *c* be the constant associated with the Kolmogorov extractor *f*. That is, $K(f(x, y)) \ge m - c \log n - \deg(xy)$ provided $K(x) \ge k$, $K(y) \ge k$, and $\deg(xy) \le a$.

We will show that for every pair of flat distributions X and Y with min-entropy k', f(X, Y) is ϵ -close to a nice distribution with min-entropy at least $m - (c + 9) \log n$ where ϵ is as given in the statement of the theorem. Then by Theorem 2.6, it will follow that f is an almost randomness extractor for min-entropy k'. For the purpose of contradiction, suppose there are flat distributions X and Y with min-entropy k' so that f(X, Y) is ϵ far from all nice distribution with min-entropy at least $m - (c + 9) \log n$. Let X and Y be the first such distributions (in some fixed ordering of distributions). For simplicity, we will denote the supports of distributions X and Y also by X and Y, respectively. Let D denote the distribution f(X, Y). D is a nice distribution.

The number of flat distributions with min-entropy k' is finite, and the number of nice distributions over Σ^m with min-entropy at least $m - (c+9) \log n$ is also finite. Thus there is a program p which, given n, c and a code for f as input, produces the flat distributions X and Y by brute-force search method. The size of p is at most $2 \log n$ for large enough n. We will spilt the rest of the proof into two cases.

ACM Transactions on Computation Theory, Vol. 3, No. 1, Article 1, Publication date: August 2011.

1:6

Case (a) $k' - k > \alpha - 4 \log n + 1$. Define the "good set" *S* as

$$S = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y \mid K(x) \ge k, K(y) \ge k, \text{ and } dep(xy) \le \alpha \}.$$

Let S' be the complement of S within $X \times Y$. That is $S' = X \times Y \setminus S$. We will bound the size of S'. Observe that S' is a subset of the union of following sets.

$$S_1 = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y \mid K(x) < k \}$$
$$S_2 = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y \mid K(y) < k \}$$
$$S_3 = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y \mid dep(xy) > a \}$$

Clearly, sizes of S_1 and S_2 are bounded by $2^{k+k'}$. We will bound $|S_3|$. Since the program p produces X and Y and $|X| = |Y| = 2^{k'}$, every string in $X \cup Y$ has Kolmogorov complexity at most $k' + 2 \log n$. Thus for any $\langle x, y \rangle \in S_3$ we have that $K(xy) = K(x) + K(y) - \deg(xy) \le 2k' + 4 \log n - \alpha$. So $|S_3| \le 2^{2k'+4 \log n-\alpha}$. Hence $|S'| \le |S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3| \le |S_1| + |S_2| + |S_3| \le 2^{k+k'+1} + 2^{2k'+4 \log n-\alpha}$. Since $k' - k > \alpha - 4 \log n + 1$, this sum is $\le 2^{2k'+4 \log n-\alpha+1}$. Thus we have the following bound on the probability of S'.

CLAIM 3.4. If $k' - k > \alpha - 4\log n + 1$ then $\Pr_{X \times Y}(S') \leq \frac{1}{2^{\alpha - 4\log n - 1}}$.

We assumed that f is not an almost randomness extractor. That is the distribution is ϵ -far from any nice distribution with min-entropy $m - (c+9)\log n$. By Lemma 2.7, there is a set $U \subseteq \Sigma^m$ of size $2^{m-\alpha-(c+4)\log n}$ such that $D(U) > 1/2^{\alpha-5\log n}$. Since a program of size $2\log n$ produces distributions X and Y and f is computable, there is a program of size at most $3\log n$ that produces the set U. Thus for all $u \in U$, $K(u) < m - \alpha - c \log n$.

Since $\Pr_{X \times Y}(S') \leq \frac{1}{2^{a-4\log n-1}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{a-5\log n}}$ and $D(U) > \frac{1}{2^{a-5\log n}}$, there must exist a tuple $\langle x, y \rangle \in S$ so that $f(x, y) \in U$ and for this tuple we have $K(f(x, y)) < m - a - c \log n$. This is a contradiction since f is a Kolmogorov extractor and for all elements $\langle x, y \rangle \in S$, $K(f(x, y)) \geq m - \deg(xy) - c \log n \geq m - a - c \log n$.

Case (b) $k' - k \le \alpha - 4 \log n + 1$. The proof is very similar. Define the "good set" *S* as

$$S = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y \mid K(x) \ge k, K(y) \ge k, \text{ and } \operatorname{dep}(xy) \le k' - k + 4 \log n \}$$

In this case, we can bound the size of S' (the complement of S within $X \times Y$) by considering the following sets.

$$S_1 = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y \mid K(x) < k \}$$
$$S_2 = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y \mid K(y) < k \}$$

$$S_3 = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y \mid \operatorname{dep}(xy) > k' - k + 4 \log n \}$$

Sizes of S_1 and S_2 are bounded by $2^{k+k'}$. We will bound $|S_3|$. Since the program p produces X and Y and $|X| = |Y| = 2^{k'}$, every string in $X \cup Y$ has Kolmogorov complexity at most $k' + 2\log n$. Thus for any $\langle x, y \rangle \in S_3$ we have that $K(xy) = K(x) + K(y) - \deg(xy) \le 2k' + 4\log n - (k' - k + 4\log n) = k' + k$. So $|S_3| \le 2^{k'+k}$. Hence $|S'| \le |S_1| + |S_2| + |S_3| \le 2^{k+k'+1} + 2^{k'+k} \le 2^{k+k'+2}$. Thus in this case we have the following bound on the probability of S'.

CLAIM 3.5. If
$$k' - k \le \alpha - 4 \log n + 1$$
 then $\Pr_{X \times Y}(S') \le \frac{1}{2k' - k - 2}$.

We assumed that distribution D is ϵ -far from any nice distribution with min-entropy $m - (c + 9)\log n$. By Lemma 2.7, there is a set $U \subseteq \Sigma^m$ of size $2^{m-(k'-k+4\log n)-(c+4)\log n}$ such that $D(U) > 1/2^{k'-k-\log n}$. Since a program of size $2\log n$ produces distributions X and Y and f is computable, there is a program of size at most $3\log n$ that produces the set U. Thus for all $u \in U$, $K(u) < m - (k' - k + 4\log n) - c\log n$. But since $\Pr_{X \times Y}(S') \leq \frac{1}{2^{k'-k-\log n}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{k'-k-\log n}}$ and $D(U) > \frac{1}{2^{k'-k-\log n}}$, there must exist a tuple $\langle x, y \rangle \in S$ so that $f(x, y) \in U$. This contradicts the fact that f is a Kolmogorov extractor with the prescribed parameters.

3.2. Randomness Extractor is a Kolmogorov Extractor

In this subsection we show that an almost randomness extractor is also a Kolmogorov extractor. We follow the line of proof presented in Fortnow et al. [2011] where it is shown that the construction of a multisource extractor due to Barak et al. [2006] is also a Kolmogorov extractor. Here we note that in fact the argument works even for almost randomness extractors.

THEOREM 3.6. An (n, m, k, ϵ) almost extractor is also a (n, m, k', α) Kolmogorov extractor for $\alpha < \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} - 6 \log n$ and $k' = k + 3 \log n$.

PROOF. Let $f: \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}^m$ be an (n, m, k, ϵ) almost extractor. Let c be the the associated constant. That is, the min-entropy guarantee of the output of f is $m - c \log n$.

Let x_1 and x_2 be two strings with $K(x_1) = k_1 \ge k'$, $K(x_2) = k_2 \ge k'$ and $dep(x_1x_2) \le \alpha$. Let X_1 and X_2 be subsets of $\{0, 1\}^n$ with Kolmogorov complexity at most k_1 and k_2 respectively. That is, $X_1 = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^n | K(x) \le k_1\}$ and $X_2 = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^n | K(x) \le k_2\}$. We will also use X_1 and X_2 to denote the flat distributions that put uniform weight on sets X_1 and X_2 respectively (in the next section, we give specific notation for these distributions).

For $t = m - \operatorname{dep}(x_1x_2) - (c+6) \log n$, let $T \subseteq \{0, 1\}^m$ be the set of strings with Kolmogorov complexity at most t. That is, $T = \{z \mid K(z) < t\}$. We will show that for all u, v so that $f(u, v) \in T$, $K(uv) < k_1 + k_2 - \operatorname{dep}(x_1x_2)$. This will show the theorem as this will imply $f(x_1, x_2) \notin T$ and hence $K(f(x_1, x_2)) > m - \operatorname{dep}(x_1x_2) - (c+6) \log n$.

CLAIM 3.7. For all $u \in X_1$ and $v \in X_2$ so that $f(u, v) \in T$, $K(uv) < k_1 + k_2 - \text{dep}(x_1x_2)$.

PROOF. (Of Claim) It is clear that $|X_i| \leq 2^{k_i}$. Since each string in the set $0^{(n-k)}\{0, 1\}^k$ has Kolmogorov complexity $\leq k + 2\log n + O(\log \log n) \leq k_i$ (for large enough n), we also have that $|X_i| \geq 2^k$. Thus $\Pr_{X_i}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$ for any $x \in X_i$, X_i has min-entropy at least k and f works for $X_1 \times X_2$.

Consider the output distribution $f(X_1, X_2)$ on $\{0, 1\}^m$. Let us call this distribution D. Since f is an almost extractor the distribution D is ϵ -close to a distribution with min-entropy $m - c \log n$.

Since $|T| \leq 2^t = 2^{m-\operatorname{dep}(x_1x_2)-(c+6)\log n}$ and D is ϵ -close to a distribution with minentropy $m - c \log n$, we have the following.

$$\Pr_{D}(T) \leq \frac{|T|}{2^{m}} \times n^{c} + \epsilon$$

$$\leq 2^{-\deg(x_{1}x_{2})-6\log n} + 2^{-\alpha-6\log n}$$

$$< 2^{-\deg(x_{1}x_{2})-6\log n+1}$$

The last two inequalities follow because $\alpha \leq \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon}) - 6\log n$ and $dep(x_1x_2) \leq \alpha$.

Consider the set $S = f^{-1}(T) \cap X_1 \times X_2 \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n$. We will first bound |S|. Every tuple from S gets a weight of $\geq 1/2^{k_1+k_2}$ according to the joint distribution $X_1 \times X_2$. Thus we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{|S|}{2^{k_1+k_2}} &\leq \ \Pr_{(X_1,X_2)}(S) \\ &= \ \Pr_D(T) \\ &\leq \ (2^{-\deg(x_1x_2)-6\log n+1}) \end{array} \end{array}$$

Hence $|S| \leq 2^{k_1+k_2-\deg(x_1x_2)-6\log n+1}$.

The sets X_1 , X_2 , and T are recursively enumerable and f is computable. Hence there is a program that given $n, k_1, k_2, \deg(x_1x_2)$, a code for f, and c, enumerates the elements of S. Hence for any $\langle u, v \rangle \in S$, $K(uv) \leq \log |S| + 4 \log n + O(\log \log n) \leq \log |S| + 5 \log n$ for large enough n. Since $|S| \leq 2^{k_1+k_2-\deg(x_1x_2)-6\log n+1}$, $K(uv) < k_1+k_2-\deg(x_1x_2)$ and the claim follows.

3.3. The Error Parameter vs. the Dependency Parameter

Theorem 3.6 suggests that there is a nice logarithmic relation between error of an almost extractor and the dependency parameter of the corresponding Kolmogorov extractor. In particular, in Theorem 3.6, we show that an (n, m, k, ϵ) almost randomness extractor is a (n, m, k', a) Kolmogorov extractor for $a = \log(1/\epsilon) - O(\log n)$ for k' slightly larger than k ($k' = k + O(\log n)$). On the other hand, the parameters we get in the proof of the converse direction (Kolmogorov extractor \Rightarrow randomness extractor) are not fully satisfactory. Ideally we would like to prove that every (n, m, k, a) Kolmogorov extractor is an (n, m, k', ϵ) almost randomness extractor with $k' = k + O(\log n)$ and $\epsilon = 1/2^{\alpha - O(\log n)}$ which will be a true converse to Theorem 3.6. We note that this is not possible in general. In particular, we show that for a (n, m, k, a) Kolmogorov extractor to be an (n, m, k', ϵ) almost randomness extractor with $\epsilon = 2^{\alpha - O(\log n)}$, k' has to be greater than $k + \alpha$ (up to a log factor).

THEOREM 3.8. Let f be a (n, m, k, α) Kolmogorov extractor. Then there exists a computable function g which is also a (n, m, k, α) Kolmogorov extractor but g is not an (n, m, k', ϵ) almost randomness extractor for $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2^{k'-k+4\log n}}$ for any k' where $k' < m + k - c \log n$ for all constants c.

PROOF. Let f be a (n, m, k, α) Kolmogorov extractor. Consider the set $U \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$ defined as $U = \{0, 1\}^{k-3\log n} 0^{n-k+3\log n}$. For any string $x \in U$, K(x) < k. Define the function g as follows.

$$g(x, y) = 0^m \text{ if } x \in U$$

= $f(x, y)$ otherwise.

Since membership in the set U is easy to decide and f is computable, g is computable. Also, by definition of g, for all pair of strings x, y so that $K(x) \ge k$, $K(y) \ge k$ and $dep(x, y) \le a$, g(x, y) = f(x, y). Hence g is a (n, m, k, a) Kolmogorov extractor.

Now consider two flat distributions X and Y of size $2^{k'}$ such that $U \subseteq X$. Let D denote the distribution $g(X \times Y)$. Notice that $\Pr_D(0^m) \ge \Pr_X(x \in U) \ge \frac{1}{2^{k'-k+3\log n}}$.

We will show that for any c, D is $\frac{1}{2^{k'-k+4\log n}}$ away from any distributions with minentropy $m - c \log n$, provided $k' \le m + k - c \log n$ for any c.

For the purpose of contradiction, suppose there is a distribution D' of min-entropy $m - c \log n$ so that $|D - D'| \leq \frac{1}{2^{k'-k+4\log n}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_{D'}(0^m) &\leq & \Pr_D(0^m) + \frac{1}{2^{k'-k+4\log n}} \\ &\leq & \frac{1}{2^{m-c\log n}} + \frac{1}{2^{k'-k+4\log n}} \\ &< & \frac{1}{2^{k'-k+3\log n}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.

4. A COMPLETE DISTRIBUTION FOR RANDOMNESS EXTRACTION

For integers k and n, let $\mathcal{M}_{k'}^n$ denote the distribution that places uniform weight on the set $\{x \in \{0, 1\}^n \mid K(x) \leq k\}$. That is \mathcal{M}_k^n is uniform over all the strings with Kolmogorov complexity $\leq k$. As n will be clear from the context, we will omit nfrom the notation and call it \mathcal{M}_k . We show that \mathcal{M}_k is a complete distribution for randomness extraction in the sense that a computable function f is an almost randomness extractor if and only if it extracts randomness from two independent copies of \mathcal{M}_k .

This result is motivated by the proof of the equivalence theorem. Notice that in the proof that a randomness extractor f is also a Kolmogorov extractor, we essentially show that if f extracts randomness from the class of distributions $\{\mathcal{M}_l\}_{l\geq k}$, then it is a Kolmogorov extractor. The other implication shows that if f is a Kolmogorov extractor then it is also a randomness extractor. Thus intuitively we get that the class $\{\mathcal{M}_l\}_{l\geq k}$ is complete. Next we give a simple argument for completeness.

THEOREM 4.1. A computable function f is an (n, m, k, ϵ) almost extractor if and only if there is a constant c so that $f(\mathcal{M}_{k'} \times \mathcal{M}_{k'})$ is ϵ' close to a distribution with min-entropy $m - c \log n$ where $k' = k + 2 \log n$ and $\epsilon' = \epsilon/n^4$.

PROOF. The set $0^{(n-k)}\{0,1\}^k$ is a subset of \mathcal{M}_k since every string in this set has Kolmogorov complexity $\leq k + \log n + O(\log \log n) < k'$. Hence $\mathcal{M}_{k'}$ has min-entropy $\geq k$ and since f is an almost extractor for min-entropy k it should also extract randomness from $\mathcal{M}_{k'} \times \mathcal{M}_{k'}$.

For the other direction, let f be a function that extracts from $\mathcal{M}_{k'} \times \mathcal{M}_{k'}$. Hence there is a constant c so that $f(\mathcal{M}_{k'} \times \mathcal{M}_{k'})$ is ϵ' close to a distribution with min-entropy $m - c \log n$.

For the sake of contradiction suppose f is not an almost extractor for min-entropy k. Let X and Y be first two flat distributions over $\{0, 1\}^n$ for which the distribution D = f(X, Y) is ϵ -far from all nice distributions with min-entropy $m - (c + 4) \log n$. Observe that there is a program p which, given n, c, and a code for f, produces the distributions X and Y. Thus for any $x \in X$, we have $K(x) \leq k + \log n + O(\log \log n) \leq k'$. Similarly for $y \in Y$. Hence we have the following claim.

CLAIM 4.2. For all $x \in X$, $K(x) \leq k'$. Similarly for all $y \in Y$, $K(y) \leq k'$. Hence $X \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{k'}$ and $Y \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{k'}$.

ACM Transactions on Computation Theory, Vol. 3, No. 1, Article 1, Publication date: August 2011.

We will show that for all $T \subseteq \{0, 1\}^m$, $\Pr_D(T) \le \frac{|T|}{2^m} \times n^{c+4} + \epsilon$. This suffices to show that D is ϵ -close to a distribution with min-entropy $m - (c+4) \log n$.

$$Pr_D(T) = Pr_{X \times Y}(f^{-1}(T) \cap X \times Y)$$

= $\frac{|f^{-1}(T) \cap X \times Y|}{2^{2k}}$
 $\leq Pr_{f(\mathcal{M}_k \times \mathcal{M}_k)}(T) \times n^4$
 $\leq (\frac{|T|}{2^m}n^c + \epsilon') \times n^4$
= $\frac{|T|}{2^m}n^{c+4} + \epsilon$

The inequality second from the last is because of the assumption that $f(\mathcal{M}_k \times \mathcal{M}_k)$ is ϵ' close to a distribution with min-entropy $m - c \log n$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Elvira Mayrdomo for useful discussions during the initial phase of this research. We thank the staff of Iowa Western Community College, Atlantic, Iowa for providing us meeting facilities throughout the course of this research. We thank the reviewers for useful comments.

REFERENCES

- BARAK, B., IMPAGLIAZZO, R., AND WIGDERSON, A. 2006. Extracting randomness using few independent sources. SIAM J. Comput. 36, 4, 1095–1118.
- BOURGAIN, J. 2005. More on the sum-product phenomena in prime fields and its applications. Int. J. Number Theor. 1, 1–32.
- BUHRMAN, H., FORTNOW, L., NEWMAN, I., AND VERESHCHAGIN, N. 2005. Increasing Kolmogorov complexity. In Proceedings of the 22nd Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 3404. Springer, 412–421.
- CHOR, B. AND GOLDREICH, O. 1988. Unbiased bits from sources of weak randomness and probabilistic communication complexity. SIAM J. Comput. 17, 2, 230–261.
- FORTNOW, L., HITCHCOCK, J. M., PAVAN, A., VINODCHANDRAN, N. V., AND WANG, F. 2011. Extracting Kolmogorov complexity with applications to dimension zero-one laws. *Inf. Comput. 209*, 4, 627–636.
- GURUSWAMI, V., UMANS, C., AND VADHAN, S. P. 2009. Unbalanced expanders and randomness extractors from parvaresh–vardy codes. J. ACM 56, 4.
- KALAI, Y. T., LI, X., AND RAO, A. 2009. 2-source extractors under computational assumptions and cryptography with defective randomness. In Proceedings of the Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS). IEEE Computer Society, 617–626.
- LI, M. AND VITÁNYI, P. 1991. Learning simple concepts under simple distributions. SIAM J. Comput. 20, 5, 911–935.
- LI, M. AND VITÁNYI, P. 1992. Average case complexity under the universal distribution equals worst-case complexity. *Inf. Process. Lett.* 42, 3, 145–149.
- LI, M. AND VITÁNYI, P. 1997. An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and Its Applications. Springer.
- NISAN, N. AND TA-SHMA, A. 1999. Extracting randomness: A survey and new constructions. J. Comput. Syst. Sci. 42, 2, 149–167.
- RAO, A. 2006. Randomness extractors for independent sources and applications. Ph.D. thesis, University of Texas, Austin.
- RAO, A. 2008. A 2-source almost-extractor for linear entropy. In Proceedings of the APPROX-RANDOM Conference. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 549–556.

- SHALTIEL, R. 2004. Current Trends in Theoretical Computer Science. vol 1 Algorithms and Complexity. World Scientific (Chapter Recent Developments in Extractors).
- ZIMAND, M. 2009. Extracting the Kolmogorov complexity of strings and sequences from sources with limited independence. In Proceedings of the Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 607–708.
- ZIMAND, M. 2010. Two sources are better than one for increasing the Kolmogorov complexity of infinite sequences. Theory Comput. Syst. 46, 4, 707–722.

Received November 2010; revised May 2011; accepted May 2011