#### **Partial Orders**

#### Section 9.6 of Rosen

Spring 2018 CSCE 235H Introduction to Discrete Structures (Honors) Course web-page: cse.unl.edu/~cse235h Questions: Piazza

## Outline

- Motivating example
- Definitions
  - Partial ordering, comparability, total ordering, well ordering
- Principle of well-ordered induction
- Lexicographic orderings
- Hasse Diagrams
- Extremal elements
- Lattices
- Topological Sorting

## Motivating Example (1)

- Consider the renovation of Avery Hall. In this process several tasks were undertaken
  - Remove Asbestos
  - Replace windows
  - Paint walls
  - Refinish floors
  - Assign offices
  - Move in office furniture

# Motivating Example (2)

- Clearly, some things had to be done before others could begin
  - Asbestos had to be removed before anything (except assigning offices)
  - Painting walls had to be done before refinishing floors to avoid ruining them, etc.
- On the other hand, several things could be done concurrently:
  - Painting could be done while replacing the windows
  - Assigning offices could be done at anytime before moving in office furniture
- This scenario can be nicely modeled using partial orderings

## Partial Orderings: Definitions

#### • Definitions:

- A relation R on a set S is called a <u>partial order</u> if it is
  - Reflexive
  - Antisymmetric
  - Transitive
- A set S together with a partial ordering R is called a partially ordered set (poset, for short) and is denote (S,R)
- Partial orderings are used to give an order to sets that may not have a natural one
- In our renovation example, we could define an ordering such that (a,b)∈R if 'a must be done before b can be done'

## Partial Orderings: Notation

- We use the notation:
  - $a \prec b, \text{ when } (a,b) \in \mathbb{R}$  \$\preccurlyeq\$ - a ≺ b, when (a,b) ∈ R and a≠b \$\prec\$
- The notation ≺ is not to be mistaken for "less than" (≺ versus ≤)
- The notation ≺ is used to denote <u>any</u> partial ordering

## **Comparability: Definition**

#### • Definition:

- The elements a and b of a poset (S,  $\preccurlyeq$ ) are called <u>comparable</u> if either a $\preccurlyeq$ b or b $\preccurlyeq$ a.
- When for a,b∈S, we have neither a,d nor b, a, we say that a,b are incomparable
- Consider again our renovation example
  - Remove Asbestos  $\prec$  a<sub>i</sub> for all activities a<sub>i</sub> except assign offices
  - Paint walls  $\prec$  Refinish floors
  - Some tasks are incomparable: Replacing windows can be done before, after, or during the assignment of offices

### Total orders: Definition

#### • Definition:

- If (S,≼) is a poset and every two elements of S are comparable, S is called a <u>totally ordered set</u>.
- The relation  $\preccurlyeq$  is said to be a <u>total order</u>
- Example
  - The relation "less than or equal to" over the set of integers (Z, ≤) since for every a,b∈Z, it must be the case that a≤b or b≤a
  - What happens if we replace  $\leq$  with <?

The relation < is not reflexive, and (Z,<) is not a poset

## Well Orderings: Definition

- **Definition**:  $(S, \leq)$  is a well-ordered set if
  - It is a poset
  - Such that  $\prec$  is a total ordering and
  - Such that every non-empty subset of S has a least element
- Example
  - The natural numbers along with  $\leq$ ,  $(N, \leq)$ , is a well-ordered set since any nonempty subset of N has a least element and  $\leq$  is a total ordering on N
  - However,  $(Z, \leq)$  is <u>not</u> a well-ordered set
    - Why?  $Z^{-} \subset Z$  but does not have a least element
    - Is it totally ordered? Yes

## Outline

- Motivating example
- Definitions
  - Partial ordering, comparability, total ordering, well ordering

#### • Principle of well-ordered induction

- Lexicographic orderings
- Hasse Diagrams
- Extremal elements
- Lattices
- Topological Sorting

#### Principle of Well-Ordered Induction

- Well-ordered sets are the basis of the proof technique known as induction (more when we cover Chapter 3)
- Theorem: Principle of Well-Ordered Induction
   Given S is a well-ordered set. P(x) is true for all x∈S if (Basis Step: P(x₀) is true for the least element in S and)
   Inductive Step: For every y∈S if P(x) is true for all x≺y, then P(y) is true

#### Principle of Well-Ordered Induction: Proof

**Proof:** (S well ordered)  $\land$  (Basis Step)  $\land$  (Induction Step)  $\Rightarrow \forall x \in S, P(x)$ 

• Suppose that it is not the case the P(x) holds for all x∈S

 $\Rightarrow \exists y P(y) \text{ is false}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  A={ x $\in$ S | P(x) is false } is not empty

- S is well ordered  $\Rightarrow$  A has a least element a
- Since  $P(x_0)$  is true and P(a) is false  $\Rightarrow a \neq x_0$
- P(x) holds for all x∈S and x≺a, then P(a) holds by the induction step
- This yields a contradiction

QED

# Outline

- Motivating example
- Definitions
  - Partial ordering, comparability, total ordering, well ordering
- Principle of well-ordered induction
- Lexicographic orderings
  - Idea, on  $A_1 \times A_2$ ,  $A_1 \times A_2 \times ... \times A_n$ , S<sup>t</sup> (strings)
- Hasse Diagrams
- Extremal elements
- Lattices
- Topological Sorting
   Sce 235

### Lexicographic Orderings: Idea

- Lexigraphic ordering is the same as any dictionary or phone-book ordering:
  - We use alphabetic ordering
    - Starting with the first character in the string
    - Then the next character, if the first was equal, etc.
  - If a word is shorter than the other, than we consider that the 'no character' of the shorter word to be less than 'a'

# Lexicographic Orderings on A<sub>1</sub>×A<sub>2</sub>

- Formally, lexicographic ordering is defined by <u>two</u> other orderings
- Definition: Let (A<sub>1</sub>, ≼<sub>1</sub>) and (A<sub>2</sub>, ≼<sub>2</sub>) be two posets. The lexicographic ordering ≺ on the Cartesian product A<sub>1</sub>×A<sub>2</sub> is defined by
   (a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>) ≺ (a<sup>'</sup><sub>1</sub>, a<sup>'</sup><sub>2</sub>) if (a<sub>1</sub> ≺<sub>1</sub>a<sup>'</sup><sub>1</sub>) or (a<sub>1</sub>=a<sup>'</sup><sub>1</sub> and a<sub>2</sub> ≺<sub>2</sub>a<sup>'</sup><sub>2</sub>)
- If we add equality to the lexicographic ordering  $\prec$  on  $A_1 \times A_2$ , we obtain a partial ordering

#### Lexicographic Ordering on $A_1 \times A_2 \times ... \times A_n$

- Lexicographic ordering generalizes to the Cartesian Product of n set in a natural way
- Define  $\preccurlyeq$  on  $A_1 \times A_2 \times ... \times A_n$  by  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \prec (b_1, b_2, ..., b_n)$ If a1  $\prec$  b1 or of there is an integer i>0 such that

$$a_1 = b_1, a_2 = b_2, ..., a_i = b_i and a_{i+1} \prec b_{i+1}$$

## Lexicographic Ordering on Strings

• Consider the two non-equal strings  $a_1a_2...a_m$ and  $b_1b_2...b_n$  on a poset (S<sup>t</sup>,  $\preccurlyeq$ )

• Let

-t=min(n,m)

 $-\prec$  be the lexicographic ordering on  $S^t$ 

a<sub>1</sub>a<sub>2</sub>...a<sub>m</sub> is less than b<sub>1</sub>b<sub>2</sub>...b<sub>n</sub> if and only if

 (a<sub>1</sub>,a<sub>2</sub>,...,a<sub>t</sub>) ≺ (b<sub>1</sub>,b<sub>2</sub>,...,b<sub>t</sub>) or
 (a<sub>1</sub>,a<sub>2</sub>,...,a<sub>t</sub>)=(b<sub>1</sub>,b<sub>2</sub>,...,b<sub>t</sub>) and m<n</li>

# Outline

- Motivating example
- Definitions
  - Partial ordering, comparability, total ordering, well ordering
- Principle of well-ordered induction
- Lexicographic orderings
- Hasse Diagrams
- Extremal elements
- Lattices
- Topological Sorting

### Hasse Diagrams

- Like relations and functions, partial orders have a convenient graphical representation: Hasse Diagrams
  - Consider the <u>digraph</u> representation of a partial order
  - Because we are dealing with a partial order, we know that the relation must be reflexive and transitive
  - Thus, we can simplify the graph as follows
    - Remove all self loops
    - Remove all transitive edges
    - Remove directions on edges assuming that they are oriented upwards
  - The resulting diagram is far simpler

#### Hasse Diagram: Example



## Hasse Diagrams: Example (1)

- Of course, you need not always start with the complete relation in the partial order and then trim everything.
- Rather, you can build a Hasse Diagram directly from the partial order
- Example: Draw the Hasse Diagram
  - for the following partial ordering: {(a,b) | a|b }
  - on the set {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 15, 20, 30, 60}
  - (these are the divisors of 60 which form the basis of the ancient Babylonian base-60 numeral system)

### Hasse Diagram: Example (2)



# Outline

- Motivating example
- Definitions
  - Partial ordering, comparability, total ordering, well ordering
- Principle of well-ordered induction
- Lexicographic orderings
- Hasse Diagrams
- Extremal elements
- Lattices
- Topological Sorting

#### **Extremal Elements: Summary**

We will define the following terms:

- A maximal/minimal element in a poset (S, ≺)
- The maximum (greatest)/minimum (least) element of a poset (S, ≼)
- An upper/lower bound element of a subset A of a poset (S, ≺)
- The greatest lower/least upper bound element of a subset A of a poset (S, ≼)

#### **Extremal Elements: Maximal**

- Definition: An element a in a poset (S, ≼) is called <u>maximal</u> if it is not less than any other element in S. That is: ¬(∃b∈S (a≺b))
- If there is one <u>unique</u> maximal element a, we call it the <u>maximum</u> element (or the <u>greatest</u> element)

### **Extremal Elements: Minimal**

- Definition: An element a in a poset (S, ≼) is called <u>minimal</u> if it is not greater than any other element in S. That is: ¬(∃b∈S (b≺a))
- If there is one <u>unique</u> minimal element a, we call it the <u>minimum</u> element (or the <u>least</u> element)

#### Extremal Elements: Upper Bound

- Definition: Let (S,≼) be a poset and let A⊆S. If u is an element of S such that a ≺ u for all a∈A then u is an <u>upper bound of A</u>
- An element x that is an upper bound on a subset A and is less than all other upper bounds on A is called the <u>least upper bound</u>
   <u>on A</u>. We abbreviate it as lub.

#### Extremal Elements: Lower Bound

- Definition: Let (S,≼) be a poset and let A⊆S. If
   I is an element of S such that I ≼ a for all a∈A
   then I is an lower bound of A
- An element x that is a lower bound on a subset A and is greater than all other lower bounds on A is called the <u>greatest lower</u> <u>bound on A</u>. We abbreviate it glb.

### Extremal Elements: Example 1



What are the minimal, maximal, minimum, maximum elements?

- Minimal: {a,b}
- Maximal: {c,d}
- There are no unique minimal or maximal elements, thus no minimum or maximum

## Extremal Elements: Example 2

Give lower/upper bounds & glb/lub of the sets:

{d,e,f}, {a,c} and {b,d}

{d,e,f}

- Lower bounds:  $\emptyset$ , thus no glb
- Upper bounds:  $\emptyset$ , thus no lub



#### {a,c}

- Lower bounds:  $\emptyset$ , thus no glb
- Upper bounds: {h}, lub: h

{b,d}

- Lower bounds: {b}, glb: b
- Upper bounds: {d,g}, lub: d because d≺g

## Extremal Elements: Example 3



- Minimal/Maximal elements?
  - Minimal & Minimum element: a
  - Maximal elements: b,d,i,j
- Bounds, glb, lub of {c,e}?
  - Lower bounds: {a,c}, thus glb is c
  - Upper bounds: {e,f,g,h,i,j}, thus lub is e
- Bounds, glb, lub of {b,i}?
  - Lower bounds: {a}, thus glb is a
  - Upper bounds:  $\emptyset$ , thus lub DNE

# Outline

- Motivating example
- Definitions
  - Partial ordering, comparability, total ordering, well ordering
- Principle of well-ordered induction
- Lexicographic orderings
- Hasse Diagrams
- Extremal elements

#### • Lattices

Topological Sorting

### Lattices

- A special structure arises when <u>every</u> pair of elements in a poset has an lub and a glb
- Definition: A <u>lattice</u> is a partially ordered set in which <u>every</u> pair of elements has both
  - a least upper bound and
  - a greatest lower bound

### Lattices: Example 1

Is the example from before a lattice?

 No, because the pair {b,c} does not have a least upper bound



#### Lattices: Example 2

• What if we modified it as shown here?

 Yes, because for any pair, there is an lub & a glb



### Lattices: Example 3

Is this example a lattice?

#### No!

- The lower bound of A={e,f} is {a,b,c}
- However, A has no glb

Similarly, B={b,c} has no ulb



## A Lattice Or Not a Lattice?

- To show that a partial order is not a lattice, it suffices to find a pair that does not have an lub or a glb (i.e., a counter-example)
- For a pair not to have an lub/glb, the elements of the pair must first be <u>incomparable</u> (Why?)
- You can then view the upper/lower bounds on a pair as a sub-Hasse diagram: If there is no maximum/minimum element in this subdiagram, then it is not a lattice

# Outline

- Motivating example
- Definitions
  - Partial ordering, comparability, total ordering, well ordering
- Principle of well-ordered induction
- Lexicographic orderings
- Hasse Diagrams
- Extremal elements
- Lattices
- Topological Sorting

## **Topological Sorting**

- Let us return to the introductory example of Avery Hall renovation. Now that we have got a partial order model, it would be nice to actually create a concrete schedule
- That is, given a <u>partial order</u>, we would like to transform it into a <u>total order</u> that is <u>compatible</u> with the partial order
- A total order is <u>compatible</u> if it does not violate any of the original relations in the partial order
- Essentially, we are simply <u>imposing an order on incomparable</u> elements in the partial order

#### Topological Sorting: Preliminaries (1)

- Before we give the algorithm, we need some tools to justify its correctness
- Fact: Every <u>finite</u>, <u>nonempty</u> poset (S,≼) has a <u>minimal</u> element
- We will prove the above fact by a form of *reductio ad absurdum*

#### Topological Sorting: Preliminaries (2)

- Proof:
  - Assume, to the contrary, that a nonempty finite poset (S<sub>K</sub>) has no minimal element. In particular, assume that a<sub>1</sub> is not a minimal element.
  - Assume, w/o loss of generality, that |S|=n
  - If  $a_1$  is not minimal, then there exists  $a_2$  such that  $a_2 \prec a_1$
  - But  $a_2$  is also not minimal because of the above assumption
  - Therefore, there exists  $a_3$  such that  $a_3 \prec a_2$ . This process proceeds until we have the last element  $a_n$ . Thus,  $a_n \prec a_{n-1} \prec ... \prec a_2 \prec a_1$
  - Finally, by definition  $a_n$  is the minimal element

QED

## **Topological Sorting: Intuition**

- The idea of topological sorting is
  - We start with a poset (S,  $\prec$ )
  - We remove a minimal element, choosing arbitrarily if there is more than one. Such an element is guaranteed to exist by the previous fact
  - As we remove each minimal element, one at a time, the set S shrinks
  - Thus we are guaranteed that the algorithm will <u>terminate</u> in a finite number of steps
  - Furthermore, the order in which the elements are removed is a total order:  $a_1 \prec a_2 \prec ... \prec a_{n-1} \prec a_n$
- Now, we can give the algorithm itself

## **Topological Sorting: Algorithm**

Input:  $(S, \preccurlyeq)$  a poset with |S|=nOutput: A total ordering  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ 1.  $k \leftarrow 1$ 

#### 2. While S Do

- 3.  $a_k \leftarrow$  a minimal element in *S*
- 4.  $S \leftarrow S \setminus \{a_k\}$
- 5. *k* ← *k*+1
- 6. **End**
- 7. **Return** (*a*<sub>1</sub>, *a*<sub>2</sub>, ..., *a*<sub>n</sub>)

## Topological Sorting: Example

• Find a compatible ordering (topological ordering) of the poset represented by the Hasse diagrams below



44

**CSCE 235** 

### Summary

- Definitions
  - Partial ordering, comparability, total ordering, well ordering
- Principle of well-ordered induction
- Lexicographic orderings
  - Idea, on  $A_1 \times A_2$ ,  $A_1 \times A_2 \times ... \times A_n$ , S<sup>t</sup> (strings)
- Hasse Diagrams
- Extremal elements
  - Minimal/minimum, maximal/maximum, glb, lub
- Lattices
- Topological Sorting CSCE 235