

# Recitation 8

Created by Taylor Spangler, Adapted by Beau Christ

- (3 min max) Questions about last week's quiz?
- Questions about lecture / homework so far?
- Today we're looking at Relations.
- First, a few definitions:
  1. **Reflexive:**  $(a, a) \in R$  for all  $a \in A$
  2. **Symmetric:**  $\forall a, b \in A, (a, b) \in R \rightarrow (b, a) \in R$
  3. **Antisymmetric:**  $a, b \in A, (a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, a) \in R$  then  $a = b$
  4. **Transitive:**  $a, b, c \in A, (a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in R$  then  $(a, c) \in R$
  5. **Irreflexive:**  $\forall a \in A, (a, a) \notin R$
  6. **Asymmetric:**  $(a, b) \in R$  then  $(b, a) \notin R$
  7. **Equivalence Relation:** A relation that is *reflexive*, *symmetric*, and *transitive*.
  8. **Partial Ordering:** A relation **R** on a set **S** that is *reflexive*, *antisymmetric*, and *transitive*
- Rosen 9.4.25(c). Use Algorithm 1 (Given on page 603) to compute the transitive closure of the relation  $\{(2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 4), (4, 1), (4, 3)\}$  on the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .
  - We note the matrix of a relation  $R^x$  resulting from the composing the relation  $R$  with itself  $x$  times:  $M_{R^x}$ , alternatively:  $M_R^{[x]}$ .
  - We note the relations composition operator  $\circ$  and the matrix product operator  $\cdot$ , alternatively,  $\odot$ .

$$M_R = M_{R^1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{R^2} = M_{R^1 \circ R^1} = M_{R^1} \cdot M_{R^1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{R^3} = M_{R \circ R^2} = M_{R^2} \cdot M_{R^1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{R^4} = M_{R \circ R^3} = M_{R^3} \cdot M_{R^1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{R^*} = M_{R^1} \vee M_{R^2} \vee M_{R^3} \vee M_{R^4}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \vee \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \vee \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \vee \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So it was already transitive.

- Rosen 9.4.27(a)

$$M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} W_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} W_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So the transitive closure contains all 16 pairs. Is this transitive? **Yes**

- 9.5.3 a) Is  $\{(f, g) \mid f(1) = g(0) \text{ and } f(0) = g(1)\}$  an equivalence relation on the set of all functions  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ?
  - So this relation, contains  $(f, g)$ , if  $f(1) = g(0)$  and  $f(0) = g(1)$ .
  - So is this relation Reflexive? No,  $f(0) = f(0)$  and  $f(1) = f(1)$ , this is only true when  $f(0) = f(1)$ . But there are other cases, so this is not reflexive.

- Is this relation Symmetric? Yes, if  $f(1) = g(0)$  and  $f(0) = g(1)$ , then  $g(1) = f(0)$  and  $g(0) = f(1)$ . So: if  $(f, g)$  then  $(g, f)$
- Is this relation Transitive? Suppose we have  $(f, g)$  and  $(g, c)$ . Then  $f(1) = g(0)$  and  $f(0) = g(1)$  and  $g(1) = c(0)$  and  $g(0) = c(1)$ . Therefore  $f(1) = c(1)$  and  $f(0) = c(0)$  so it is not necessarily true that  $f(1) = c(0)$  and  $f(0) = c(1)$ . So no this is not transitive..
- 9.5.3 b) How about  $\{(f, g) \mid f(1) = g(1) \text{ or } f(0) = g(0)\}$ 
  - Is it Reflexive? Yes if  $f(1) = g(1)$ , then  $g(1) = f(1)$ , same for  $f(0)$  and  $g(0)$
  - Is it Symmetric? Yes, again similar to last time.
  - Is it Transitive? No, suppose  $f(1) = g(1)$  and  $g(0) = c(0)$ , but  $f(0) \neq c(0)$  and  $f(1) \neq c(1)$ . Then we have  $(f, g)$  and  $(g, c)$ , but we don't have  $(f, c)$ .
- Equivalence Relations: 51 - Show that the partition of the set of bit strings of length 16 formed by equivalence classes of bit strings that agree on the last eight bits is a refinement of the partition formed from the equivalence classes of bit strings that agree on the last 4 bits.
  -
- Partial orderings, 9.6.1 a)  $\{(0,0), (1,1), (2,2), (3,3)\}$ 
  - Yes, it is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive
- Partial orderings, 9.6.3 b) Let  $S$  be the set of all people in the world, and let  $aRb$  be the relation  $a$  is not taller than  $b$ . is this a partial ordering?
  - Reflexive: clearly  $a$  cannot be taller than  $a$ , so  $(a, a)$ .
  - Antisymmetric: Suppose we have  $(a, b)$  is it possible to have  $(b, a)$  if  $b \neq a$ ? Yes, suppose  $a$  and  $b$  have the same height, but are different people.
  - Transitive: Yes, if  $a$  is not taller than  $b$  and  $b$  is not taller than  $c$  then  $a$  is not taller than  $c$ .
  - So no, it is not antisymmetric, therefore it is not a partial ordering.