Week 9 Recitation

Robert Woodward

March 7, 2011

- Questions about lecture / homework so far?
- Rosen 8.4.25(b). Use Algorithm 1 (Given on page 550) to compute the transitive closure of the relation $\{(2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 4), (4, 1), (4, 3)\}$ on the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.
 - We note the matrix of a relation R^x resulting from the composing the relation R with itself x times: M_{R^x} , alternatively: $M_R^{[x]}$.
 - We note the relations composition operator \circ and the matrix product operator $\cdot,$ alternatively, $\odot.$

$$\begin{split} M_{R} &= M_{R^{1}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ M_{R^{2}} &= M_{R^{1} \circ R^{1}} = M_{R^{1}} \cdot M_{R^{1}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ M_{R^{3}} &= M_{R \circ R^{2}} = M_{R^{2}} \cdot M_{R^{1}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ M_{R^{4}} &= M_{R \circ R^{3}} = M_{R^{3}} \cdot M_{R^{1}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} M_{R^*} &= M_{R^1} \lor M_{R^2} \lor M_{R^3} \lor M_{R^4} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lor \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lor \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lor \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

• (On request): Rosen 8.4.27(b). Use Warshall's algorithm to compute the transitive closure of the relation $\{(2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 4), (4, 1), (4, 3)\}$ on the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

$$M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Fix $k = 1$:



$$W_1 = \left[\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Fix k = 2:



$$W_2 = \left[\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Fix
$$k = 3$$



Fix k = 4:



• Rosen 8.5.3

To be an equivalence relation, a relation must be:

- Reflexive
- Symmetric
- Transitive

Consider a function $f:\mathbb{Z}\longrightarrow\mathbb{Z}$.

Now, consider the set F of all such functions, which becomes a quite abstract notion.

$$F = \{ f \mid f : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

We are going to define a relation on this set F, let call it R

 $R \subseteq F \times F.$

Two functions $f, g \in F$ are related by R iff $(f, g) \in R$. We write fRg.

The question is to determine whether or not each of the following relations on the set F is an equivalence relation.

- a) Consider the relation: {(f,g)|f(1) = g(1)}. This means (f,g) is an element of R if and only if f(1) and g(1) evaluate to the same value in Z. This relation is reflexive because ∀f ∈ F, f(1) = f(1). This relation is symmetric because ∀f, g ∈ F, fRg → f(1) = g(1) → g(1) = f(1) → gRf. This relation is transitive because fRg and gRh, → (f(1) = g(1)) ∧ (g(1) = h(1)) → f(1) = h(1) → fRh. Therefore, R is an equivalence relation.
- b) Consider the relation: {(f,g)|(f(0) = g(0)) ∨ (f(1) = g(1))}.
 This relation is reflexive and symmetric (by similar argument to part a), but it is not transitive.
 Indeed, if

$$(fRg) \land (gRh) \Rightarrow (f(0) = g(0) \lor f(1) = g(1)) \land (g(0) = h(0) \lor g(1) = h(1)).$$

Consider the counterexample where

$$(f(0) = g(0)) \land (f(1) \neq g(1))$$

and

$$(g(0) \neq h(0)) \land (g(1) = h(1)).$$

We notice that $(f(0) = g(0) \neq h(0)) \land (f(1) \neq g(1) = h(1)) \Rightarrow (f(0) \neq h(0)) \land (f(1) \neq h(1)) \Rightarrow (f, h) \notin R$, and thus the relation is not transitive.

• Rosen 8.5.41(a): Is this collection of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ a partition? $\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{4, 5, 6\}$. The non-empty set subsets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k are a partition of a set A if and only if:

$$- \cup_{i=1}^{k} A_{i} = A$$
$$- \forall i \neq j, A_{i} \cap A_{j} = \emptyset$$
$$- \forall i, A_{i} \neq \emptyset$$

For our partition:

 $\begin{aligned} &- \cup_{i=1}^{k} A_i = \{1, 2\} \cup \{2, 3, 4\} \cup \{4, 5, 6\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} = A \\ &- (\{1, 2\} \cap \{2, 3, 4\} = \{2\} \neq \emptyset), (\{2, 3, 4\} \cap \{4, 5, 6\} = \{4\} \neq \emptyset), (\{1, 2\} \cap \{4, 5, 6\} = \emptyset). \\ &- (\{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset), (\{2, 3, 4\} \neq \emptyset) (\{4, 5, 6\} \neq \emptyset). \end{aligned}$

Because $\forall i \neq j, A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$, the two sets do not form a partition of A.

• Rosen 8.5.41(b): Is this collection of subsets of {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6} a partition? {1}, {2, 3, 6}, {4}, {5}. For our partition:

$$\begin{split} &- \cup_{i=1}^{k} A_{i} = \{1\} \cup \{2,3,6\} \cup \{4\} \cup \{5\} = \{1,2,3,4,5,6\} = A \\ &- (\{1\} \cap \{2,3,6\} = \emptyset), \ (\{1\} \cap \{4\} = \emptyset), \ (\{1\} \cap \{5\} = \emptyset), \ (\{2,3,6\} \cap \{4\} = \emptyset), \\ &(\{2,3,6\} \cap \{5\} = \emptyset), \ (\cap\{4\} \cap \{5\} = \emptyset). \\ &- (\{1\} \neq \emptyset), \ (\{2,3,6\} \neq \emptyset), \ (\{4\} \neq \emptyset), \ (\{5\} \neq \emptyset), \end{split}$$

Therefore, the two sets form a partition of A.

• Quiz (Last 10 minutes)

Extra material:

• Rosen 8.5.1(a)

Our relation is:
$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

Our relation is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. Therefore, it is an equivalence relation.

• Rosen 8.5.1(b)

Our relation is:
$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

It is *not* reflexive (why?) and is *not* transitive (why?). Therefore, it is *not* an equivalence relation.

Notice that for transitivity, in this case, it helps to draw the directed graph of the relation.