

Homework 7

Assigned on: Mon March 29, 2009.

Due: Friday, April 5, 2010.

You can choose to do either Section 1 (worth 100 points) or Sections 2 to 9 (with 100 points plus 20 bonus points). It is your decision. If you choose to do both options, you will receive the *maximum* of the grades of the two sections, not the sum.

1 Implementation: Solving SAT 100 points

Write a search algorithm to determine the satisfiability of a SAT instance. You can either write:

- A DPLL procedure (backtrack search),
- A local search procedure.

You must

- Clearly describe, in addition to your code, your data structures, how your search algorithm operates, and the improvements, if any, that you have included in your code.
- We recommend that you use the standard file for input files known as the ‘simplified version of the DIMACS format’:
<http://www.satcompetition.org/2009/format-benchmarks2009.html>
- Test the performance of your algorithm on some non trivial uniform random instances taken from the SAT Competition. For example:
<http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~hoos/SATLIB/benchm.html>

Alert: many implementations exist in the literature and on the web. We expect you to do your *own* implementation.

2 AIMA, Exercise 7.1, page 279. 16 points

3 AIMA, Exercise 7.7, page 281. 6 points

4 Truth Tables 8 points

Use truth tables to show that each of the following is a tautology.

1. $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow \neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$
2. $[Mary \wedge (Mary \rightarrow Susy)] \rightarrow Susy$
3. $\alpha \rightarrow [\beta \rightarrow (\alpha \wedge \beta)]$
4. $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow [(b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)]$

5 AIMA, Exercise 7.10, page 281. 16 points

Only b, c, d, e, f, and g.

6 Logical Equivalences 8 points

Using a method of your choice, verify:

1. $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \equiv (\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha)$ contraposition
2. $\neg(\alpha \wedge \beta) \equiv (\neg\alpha \vee \neg\beta)$ de Morgan
3. $(\alpha \wedge (\beta \vee \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \wedge \beta) \vee (\alpha \wedge \gamma))$ distributivity of \wedge over \vee

7 AIMA, Exercise 7.22, page 284. 18 points + 20 bonus

Parts a, b, and c are required. Parts d, e, and f are bonus.

8 Proofs 28 points

Give the explanations of each step if the steps are given, and give both the explanation and step if they are not.

- If $q \wedge (r \wedge p), t \rightarrow v, v \rightarrow \neg p$, then $\neg t \wedge r$.

Proof

Explanations

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| 1. $q \wedge (r \wedge p)$ | Given |
| 2. $t \rightarrow v$ | Given |
| 3. $v \rightarrow \neg p$ | Given |
| 4. $t \rightarrow \neg p$ | |
| 5. $(r \wedge p)$ | |
| 6. r | |
| 7. p | |

- 8. $\neg\neg p$
- 9. $\neg t$
- 10. $\neg t \wedge r$

- If $p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$, $q \rightarrow s$, and $r \rightarrow t$, then $p \rightarrow (s \wedge t)$.

Proof

Explanations

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.

- **Prove by contradiction.**

If $\neg(\neg p \wedge q)$, $p \rightarrow (\neg t \vee r)$, q , and t , then r .

Proof

Explanations

- | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. $\neg(\neg p \wedge q)$ | Given |
| 2. $p \rightarrow (\neg t \vee r)$ | Given |
| 3. q | Given |
| 4. t | Given |
| 5. $\neg r$ | Negation of Conclusion |
| 6. | |
| 7. | |
| 8. | |
| 9. | |
| 10. | |
| 11. | |
| 12. | |