

## Homework 7

**Assigned on:** Monday, Nov 7, 2022

**Due:** Monday, Nov 28, 2022

This is a pen-and-paper homework, to be returned in class or with web handin.

The homework is worth 109 points for ugrads and 129 points for grads (+45 bonus points for ugrads and +25 bonus points for grads).

**Exercises:** AIMA exercises are available online : <https://aimacode.github.io/aima-exercises>

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Bonus Researching Description Logic</b>	<b>(Bonus 25 points)</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Algorithms for Propositional Logic</b> (Mandatory for grad, bonus for ugrads)	<b>(20 points)</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Using the inference rules for logic</b>	<b>(10 points)</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Chapter 8, Exercise 4, Source: AIMA online site.</b>	<b>(2 points)</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Chapter 8, Exercise 10, Source: AIMA online site.</b>	<b>(19 points)</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Chapter 8, Exercise 30, Source: AIMA online site.</b>	<b>(12 points)</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Axioms in FOL (Adapted from AIMA, first edition)</b>	<b>(15 points)</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Chapter 9, Exercise 3, Source: AIMA online site.</b>	<b>(3 points)</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Chapter 9, Exercise 4, Source: AIMA online site.</b>	<b>(4 points)</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Chapter 9, Exercise 7, Source: AIMA online site.</b>	<b>(12 points)</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Chapter 9, Exercise 16, Source: AIMA online site.</b>	<b>(12 points)</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>First-Order Logic</b>	<b>(20 points)</b>	<b>6</b>

# 1 Bonus Researching Description Logic (Bonus 25 points)

Description Logic is a cornerstone of the Semantic Web technology. In this question, you are asked to research Description Logic *beyond what is in your textbook*. Write a two-page (typed) structured summary about DL addressing whatever aspects you find meaningful and interesting. Below is a list of ideas *you may want to include*, they are mere suggestions. Make sure you cite all your references.

1. What is the goal of DL?
2. To the extent possible, explain/state the syntax and semantics of DL.
3. How does DL relate to other types of Logic that we may or may not have studied?
4. Explain some proof techniques used for DL and give their complexity.
5. Briefly describe the history/evolution of DL.
6. Discuss and compare various implementations of DL.
7. Investigate the industrial impact of DL: list practical systems that implement some version of DL; are they public domain; have they generated economic growth/benefit, etc.

# 2 Algorithms for Propositional Logic (Mandatory for grad, bonus for undergrads) (20 points)

Consider the following algorithms:

1. TT-ENTAILS?, AIMA Figure 7.10 page 221.
2. PL-RESOLUTION, AIMA Figure 7.13 page 228.
3. PL-FC-ENTAILS?, AIMA Figure 7.15 page 231.
4. DPLL-SATISFIABLE?, AIMA Figure 7.17 page 234.
5. WALKSAT, AIMA Figure 7.18 page 235.

For each of the above algorithms, carefully study the algorithm and explain how it operates by

- Clearly stating the input
- Providing the representation on which it operates
- Explaining when and why the algorithm stops
- Stating what mechanism the algorithm implements (for example by relating it to a known theorem.)

(4 points for each algorithm)

### 3 Using the inference rules for logic (10 points)

prove that “ $\exists x Z(x)$  follows from the givens.” Be sure to justify your steps by stating the inference rule used, along with the previous line(s) to which it was applied and the unifications used.

1.  $P(1)$  given
2.  $W(1) \wedge W(2) \wedge W(3)$  given
3.  $\forall x [P(x) \Rightarrow \neg R(x)]$  given
4.  $\forall x [Q(x) \vee R(x)]$  given
5.  $\forall x [(Q(x) \wedge W(x)) \Rightarrow Z(x)]$  given

### 4 Chapter 8, Exercise 4, Source: AIMA online site. (2 points)

Write down a logical sentence such that every world in which it is true contains exactly one object.

### 5 Chapter 8, Exercise 10, Source: AIMA online site. (19 points)

This exercise uses the function *MapColor* and predicates *In*( $x, y$ ), *Borders*( $x, y$ ), and *Country*( $x$ ), whose arguments are geographical regions, along with constant symbols for various regions. In each of the following we give an English sentence and a number of candidate logical expressions. For each of the logical expressions, state whether it (1) correctly expresses the English sentence; (2) is syntactically invalid and therefore meaningless; or (3) is syntactically valid but does not express the meaning of the English sentence.

1. Paris and Marseilles are both in France.
  - (a)  $In(Paris \wedge Marseilles, France)$ .
  - (b)  $In(Paris, France) \wedge In(Marseilles, France)$ .
  - (c)  $In(Paris, France) \vee In(Marseilles, France)$ .
2. There is a country that borders both Iraq and Pakistan.
  - (a)  $\exists c Country(c) \wedge Border(c, Iraq) \wedge Border(c, Pakistan)$ .
  - (b)  $\exists c Country(c) \Rightarrow [Border(c, Iraq) \wedge Border(c, Pakistan)]$ .
  - (c)  $\exists c Country(c) \Rightarrow [Border(c, Iraq) \wedge Border(c, Pakistan)]$ .

(d)  $\exists c \text{Border}(\text{Country}(c), \text{Iraq} \wedge \text{Pakistan})$ .

3. All countries that border Ecuador are in South America.

(a)  $\forall c \text{Country}(c) \wedge \text{Border}(c, \text{Ecuador}) \Rightarrow \text{In}(c, \text{SouthAmerica})$ .

(b)  $\forall c \text{Country}(c) \Rightarrow [\text{Border}(c, \text{Ecuador}) \Rightarrow \text{In}(c, \text{SouthAmerica})]$ .

(c)  $\forall c [\text{Country}(c) \Rightarrow \text{Border}(c, \text{Ecuador})] \Rightarrow \text{In}(c, \text{SouthAmerica})$ .

(d)  $\forall c \text{Country}(c) \wedge \text{Border}(c, \text{Ecuador}) \wedge \text{In}(c, \text{SouthAmerica})$ .

4. No region in South America borders any region in Europe.

(a)  $\neg[\exists c, d \text{In}(c, \text{SouthAmerica}) \wedge \text{In}(d, \text{Europe}) \wedge \text{Borders}(c, d)]$ .

(b)  $\forall c, d [\text{In}(c, \text{SouthAmerica}) \wedge \text{In}(d, \text{Europe})] \Rightarrow \neg \text{Borders}(c, d)$ .

(c)  $\neg \forall c \text{In}(c, \text{SouthAmerica}) \Rightarrow \exists d \text{In}(d, \text{Europe}) \wedge \neg \text{Borders}(c, d)$ .

(d)  $\forall c \text{In}(c, \text{SouthAmerica}) \Rightarrow \forall d \text{In}(d, \text{Europe}) \Rightarrow \neg \text{Borders}(c, d)$ .

5. No two adjacent countries have the same map color.

(a)  $\forall x, y \neg \text{Country}(x) \vee \neg \text{Country}(y) \vee \neg \text{Borders}(x, y) \vee \neg (\text{MapColor}(x) = \text{MapColor}(y))$ .

(b)  $\forall x, y (\text{Country}(x) \wedge \text{Country}(y) \wedge \text{Borders}(x, y) \wedge \neg(x = y)) \Rightarrow \neg(\text{MapColor}(x) = \text{MapColor}(y))$ .

(c)  $\forall x, y \text{Country}(x) \wedge \text{Country}(y) \wedge \text{Borders}(x, y) \wedge \neg(\text{MapColor}(x) = \text{MapColor}(y))$ .

(d)  $\forall x, y (\text{Country}(x) \wedge \text{Country}(y) \wedge \text{Borders}(x, y)) \Rightarrow \text{MapColor}(x \neq y)$ .

## 6 Chapter 8, Exercise 30, Source: AIMA online site. (12 points)

Represent the following sentences in first-order logic, using a consistent vocabulary (which you must define):

1. Some students took French in spring 2001.
2. Every student who takes French passes it.
3. Only one student took Greek in spring 2001.
4. The best score in Greek is always higher than the best score in French.
5. Every person who buys a policy is smart.
6. No person buys an expensive policy.
7. There is an agent who sells policies only to people who are not insured.
8. There is a barber who shaves all men in town who do not shave themselves.

9. A person born in the UK, each of whose parents is a UK citizen or a UK resident, is a UK citizen by birth.
10. A person born outside the UK, one of whose parents is a UK citizen by birth, is a UK citizen by descent.
11. Politicians can fool some of the people all of the time, and they can fool all of the people some of the time, but they can't fool all of the people all of the time.
12. All Greeks speak the same language. (Use  $Speaks(x, l)$  to mean that person  $x$  speaks language  $l$ .)

## 7 Axioms in FOL (Adapted from AIMA, first edition) (15 points)

Using the following:

$Child(x, y)$ ,  $Sibling(x, y)$ ,  $Female(x)$ ,  $Male(x)$ , and  $Spouse(x, y)$

- (10 points) Write axioms describing the predicates:  $GrandChild$ ,  $GreatGrandParent$ ,  $Brother$ ,  $Sister$ ,  $Daughter$ ,  $Son$ ,  $Aunt$ ,  $Uncle$ ,  $BrotherInLaw$ ,  $SisterInLaw$ , and  $FirstCousin$ . We want these axioms to be definitions, so use  $\Leftrightarrow$  instead of  $\Rightarrow$ .
- (5 points) Knowing that a second cousin is a child of one's parent first cousin, write the definition of a  $N^{th}$ -cousin, as a recursive expression in terms of the predicates defined above. Hint: Let  $N^{th}$ -cousin be a ternary predicate, that takes as input  $n$ , and two persons  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ .

## 8 Chapter 9, Exercise 3, Source: AIMA online site. (3 points)

Suppose a knowledge base contains just one sentence,  $\exists x AsHighAs(x, Everest)$ . Which of the following are legitimate results of applying Existential Instantiation?

1.  $AsHighAs(Everest, Everest)$ .
2.  $AsHighAs(Kilimanjaro, Everest)$ .
3.  $AsHighAs(Kilimanjaro, Everest) \wedge AsHighAs(BenNevis, Everest)$   
(after two applications).

## 9 Chapter 9, Exercise 4, Source: AIMA online site. (4 points)

For each pair of atomic sentences, give the most general unifier if it exists:

1.  $P(A, B, B), P(x, y, z)$ .
2.  $Q(y, G(A, B)), Q(G(x, x), y)$ .
3.  $Older(Father(y), y), Older(Father(x), John)$ .
4.  $Knows(Father(y), y), Knows(x, x)$ .

## 10 Chapter 9, Exercise 7, Source: AIMA online site. (12 points)

Write down logical representations for the following sentences, suitable for use with Generalized Modus Ponens:

1. Horses, cows, and pigs are mammals.
2. An offspring of a horse is a horse.
3. Bluebeard is a horse.
4. Bluebeard is Charlie's parent.
5. Offspring and parent are inverse relations.
6. Every mammal has a parent.

## 11 Chapter 9, Exercise 16, Source: AIMA online site. (12 points)

In this exercise, use the sentences you wrote in Chapter 9, Exercise 7 (Previous Question) to answer a question by using a backward-chaining algorithm.

1. Draw the proof tree generated by an exhaustive backward-chaining algorithm for the query  $\exists h Horse(h)$ , where clauses are matched in the order given.
2. What do you notice about this domain?
3. How many solutions for  $h$  actually follow from your sentences?
4. Can you think of a way to find all of them? (*Hint:* For this question, it is useful to check the following paper Smith, D.E., Genesereth, M.R., and Ginsberg, M.L. (1986). *Controlling recursive inference*. Artificial Intelligence, Volume 30(3), pages 343–389.)

## 12 First-Order Logic

(20 points)

Consider the following axioms:

1. Anyone who rides any Harley is a rough character.
2. Every biker rides [something that is] either a Harley or a BMW.
3. Anyone who rides any BMW is a yuppie.
4. Every yuppie is a lawyer.
5. Any nice girl does not date anyone who is a rough character.
6. Mary is a nice girl, and John is a biker.
7. (Conclusion) If John is not a lawyer, then Mary does not date John.

- Choose appropriate predicates to write the above axioms in first-order logic, clearly indicating the arguments and arity of each predicate: (2 points)
- Write each of the above axioms in first-order logic. Use scratch paper if necessary, and *neatly* report your results below. (10 points)

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.

- Transform each of the above sentences into a conjunctive normal form. Clearly state the Skolem functions and clearly number the statements. (4 points)
- Establish the conclusion using the axioms by applying refutation resolution. Clearly show the variable bindings at each step and clearly number the statements. (4 points)

Negation of conclusion: