CSCE476/876 Fall 2018

Homework 7

Assigned on: Friday, October 26^{th} , 2018.

Due: Wednesday, November 7^{th} , 2018.

Points: 100, plus a potential 20 bonus points in the main tract. Additionally, you have the option of implementing a SAT solver for 100 additional bonus points.

Contents

1 AIMA, Exercise 7.1, page 279. (16 points) 1

2 AIMA, Exercise 7.7, page 281. (6 points) 1

3 Truth Tables (8 points) 1

4 AIMA, Exercise 7.10, page 281. (16 points) 1

5 Logical Equivalences (8 points) 2

6 AIMA, Exercise 7.22, page 284. (18 points + 20 bonus) 2

7 Proofs (28 points) 2

8 Bonus: Implementation, Solving SAT (100 points) 3

Alert: If you submit your homework handwritten, it must be *absolutely neat* or it *will not* be corrected. If you type your homework (preferable), submit using webhandin.

1 AIMA, Exercise 7.1, page 279. (16 points)

2 AIMA, Exercise 7.7, page 281. (6 points)

3 Truth Tables (8 points)

Use truth tables to show that each of the following is a tautology.

1.
$$(p \land q) \rightarrow \neg(\neg p \lor \neg q)$$

2. $[Mary \land (Mary \rightarrow Susy)] \rightarrow Susy$

3.
$$\alpha \to [\beta \to (\alpha \land \beta)]$$

4. $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow [(b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)]$

4 AIMA, Exercise 7.10, page 281. (16 points)

Only b, c, d, e, f, and g.

5 Logical Equivalences

(8 points)

Explanations

Using a method of your choice, verify:

- 1. $(\alpha \to \beta) \equiv (\neg \beta \to \neg \alpha)$ contraposition
- 2. $\neg(\alpha \land \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \neg \beta)$ de Morgan
- 3. $(\alpha \wedge (\beta \vee \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \wedge \gamma) \vee (\alpha \wedge \beta))$ distributivity of \wedge over \vee

6 AIMA, Exercise 7.22, page 284.

(18 points + 20 bonus)

Parts a, b, and c are required. Parts d, e, and f are bonus.

7 Proofs (28 points)

Give the explantions of each step if the steps are given, and give both the explanation and step if they are not.

• If $q \wedge (r \wedge p), t \rightarrow v, v \rightarrow \neg p$, then $\neg t \wedge r$.

Proof Explanations

1.
$$q \wedge (r \wedge p)$$

2.
$$t \to v$$

3.
$$v \to \neg p$$

$$4. \ t \rightarrow \neg p$$

5.
$$(r \wedge p)$$

8.
$$\neg \neg p$$

9.
$$\neg t$$

10.
$$\neg t \wedge r$$

• If $p \to (q \land r), q \to s$, and $r \to t$, then $p \to (s \land t)$.

Proof Explanations

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.

Proof

• Prove by contradiction.

If
$$\neg(\neg p \land q), p \to (\neg t \lor r), q$$
, and t, then r.

1. $\neg(\neg p \land q)$

2.
$$p \to (\neg t \lor r)$$

3. q	Given
4. t	Given
$5. \neg r$	Negation of Conclusion
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	

8 Bonus: Implementation, Solving SAT

(100 points)

Write a search algorithm to determine the satisfiability of a SAT instance. You can either write:

- A DPLL procedure (backtrack search),
- A local search procedure.

You must

- Clearly describe, in addition to your code, your data structures, how your search algorithm operates, and the improvements, if any, that you have included in your code.
- We recommend that you use the standard file for input files known as the 'simplified version of the DIMACS format':
 - http://www.satcompetition.org/2009/format-benchmarks2009.html
- Test the performance of your algorithm on some non trivial uniform random instances taken from the SAT Competition. For example:
 - http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~hoos/SATLIB/benchm.html

Alert: many implementations exist in the literature and on the web. We expect you to do your own implementation.