

Number Theory

Slides by Christopher M. Bourke
Instructor: Berthe Y. Choueiry

Fall 2007

Computer Science & Engineering 235
Introduction to Discrete Mathematics
Sections 3.4–3.6 of Rosen
cse235@cse.unl.edu

Notes

Introduction I

When talking about division over the integers, we mean division with no remainder.

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \neq 0$, we say that a divides b if there exists $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b = ac$. We denote this, $a \mid b$ and $a \nmid b$ when a does not divide b . When $a \mid b$, we say a is a *factor* of b .

Theorem

Notes

Introduction II

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ then

1. If $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$ then $a \mid (b + c)$.
2. If $a \mid b$, then $a \mid bc$ for all $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
3. If $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c$, then $a \mid c$.

Corollary

If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$ then $a \mid mb + nc$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Notes

Division Algorithm I

Let a be an integer and d be a positive integer. Then there are unique integers q and r , with:

- ▶ $0 \leq r < d$
- ▶ such that $a = dq + r$

Not really an algorithm (traditional name). Further:

- ▶ a is called the dividend
- ▶ d is called the divisor
- ▶ q is called the quotient
- ▶ r is called the remainder, and is positive.

Notes

Primes I

Definition

A positive integer $p > 1$ is called *prime* if its only positive factors are 1 and p .

If a positive integer is not prime, it is called *composite*.

Notes

Primes II

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, FTA)

Every positive integer $n > 1$ can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of the powers of two or more primes written in nondecreasing size.

That is, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 1$, can be written as

$$n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_l^{k_l}$$

where each p_i is a prime and each $k_i \geq 1$ is a positive integer.

Notes

Sieve of Eratosthenes

Preliminaries

Given a positive integer, $n > 1$, how can we determine if n is prime or not?

For hundreds of years, people have developed various tests and algorithms for *primality testing*. We'll look at the oldest (and most inefficient) of these.

Lemma

If n is a composite integer, then n has a prime divisor $x \leq \sqrt{n}$.

Notes

Sieve of Eratosthenes

Preliminaries

Proof.

- ▶ Let n be a composite integer.
- ▶ By definition, n has a prime divisor a with $1 < a < n$, thus $n = ab$.
- ▶ Its easy to see that either $a \leq \sqrt{n}$ or $b \leq \sqrt{n}$. Otherwise, if on the contrary, $a > \sqrt{n}$ and $b > \sqrt{n}$, then

$$ab > \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n} = n$$

- ▶ Finally, either a or b is prime divisor or has a factor that is a prime divisor by the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, thus n has a prime divisor $x \leq \sqrt{n}$.

□

Notes

Sieve of Eratosthenes

Algorithm

This result gives us an obvious algorithm. To determine if a number n is prime, we simple must test every prime number p with $2 \leq p \leq \sqrt{n}$.

SIEVE

```
INPUT      : A positive integer  $n \geq 4$ .
OUTPUT     : true if  $n$  is prime.
1 FOREACH prime number  $p$ ,  $2 \leq p \leq \sqrt{n}$  DO
2   IF  $p \mid n$  THEN
3     output false
4   END
5 END
6 output true
```

Can be improved by reducing the upper bound to $\sqrt{\frac{n}{p}}$ at each iteration.

Notes

Sieve of Eratosthenes

Efficiency?

This procedure, called the Sieve of Eratosthenes, is quite old, but works.

In addition, it is *very* inefficient. At first glance, this may seem counter intuitive.

- ▶ The outer for-loop runs for every prime $p \leq \sqrt{n}$.
- ▶ Assume that we get such a list *for free*. The loop still executes about

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\ln \sqrt{n}}$$

times (see distribution of primes: next topic, also Theorem 4, page 213).

- ▶ Assume also that division is our elementary operation.
- ▶ Then the algorithm is $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$.
- ▶ **However**, what is the actual *input size*?

Notes

Sieve of Eratosthenes

Efficiency?

- ▶ Recall that it is $\log(n)$. Thus, the algorithm runs in *exponential* time with respect to the input size.
- ▶ To see this, let $k = \log(n)$
- ▶ Then $2^k = n$ and so

$$\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{2^k} = 2^{k/2}$$

- ▶ Thus the Sieve is exponential in the input size k .

The Sieve also gives an algorithm for determining the *prime factorization* of an integer. To date, no one has been able to produce an algorithm that runs in sub-exponential time. The hardness of this problem is the basis of *public-key cryptography*.

Notes

Sieve of Eratosthenes I

Primality Testing

Numerous algorithms for primality testing have been developed over the last 50 years.

In 2002, three Indian computer scientists developed the first *deterministic polynomial-time* algorithm for primality testing, running in time $\mathcal{O}(\log^{12}(n))$.

M. Agrawal and N. Kayal and N. Saxena. PRIMES is in P. *Annals of Mathematics*, 160(2):781-793, 2004.

Available at <http://projecteuclid.org/Dienst/UI/1.0/Summarize/euclid.anm/1111770735>

Notes

How Many Primes?

How many primes are there?

Theorem

There are infinitely many prime numbers.

The proof is a simple proof by contradiction.

Notes

How Many Primes?

Proof

Proof.

- ▶ Assume to the contrary that there are a finite number of primes, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n .

- ▶ Let

$$Q = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n + 1$$

- ▶ By the FTA, Q is either prime (in which case we are done) or Q can be written as the product of two or more primes.
- ▶ Thus, one of the primes p_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) must divide Q , but then if $p_j \mid Q$, it must be the case that

$$p_j \mid Q - p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n = 1$$

- ▶ Since this is not possible, we've reached a contradiction—there are not finitely many primes.

Notes

Distribution of Prime Numbers

Theorem

The ratio of the number of prime numbers not exceeding n and $\frac{n}{\ln n}$ approaches 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In other words, for a fixed natural number, n , the number of primes not greater than n is about

$$\frac{n}{\ln n}$$

Notes

Mersenne Primes I

A *Mersenne* prime is a prime number of the form

$$2^k - 1$$

where k is a positive integer. They are related to *perfect numbers* (if M_n is a Mersenne prime, $\frac{M_n(M_n+1)}{2}$ is perfect).

Perfect numbers are numbers that are equal to the sum of their proper factors, for example $6 = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = 1 + 2 + 3$ is perfect.

Notes

Mersenne Primes II

It is an open question as to whether or not there exist odd perfect numbers. It is also an open question whether or not there exist an infinite number of Mersenne primes.

Such primes are useful in testing suites (i.e., benchmarks) for large super computers.

To date, 42 Mersenne primes have been found. The last was found on February 18th, 2005 and contains 7,816,230 digits.

Notes

Division

Theorem (The Division "Algorithm")

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ then there exists unique integers q, r with $0 \leq r < d$ such that

$$a = dq + r$$

Some terminology:

- ▶ d is called the *divisor*.
- ▶ a is called the *dividend*.
- ▶ q is called the *quotient*.
- ▶ r is called the *remainder*.

We use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} q &= a \text{ div } d \\ r &= a \text{ mod } d \end{aligned}$$

Notes

Greatest Common Divisor I

Definition

Let a and b be integers not both zero. The largest integer d such that $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ is called the *greatest common divisor* of a and b . It is denoted

$$\gcd(a, b)$$

The gcd is always guaranteed to exist since the set of common divisors is finite. Recall that 1 is a divisor of any integer. Also, $\gcd(a, a) = a$, thus

$$1 \leq \gcd(a, b) \leq \min\{a, b\}$$

Definition

Notes

Greatest Common Divisor II

Two integers a, b are called *relatively prime* if

$$\gcd(a, b) = 1$$

Sometimes, such integers are called *coprime*.

There is natural generalization to a set of integers.

Definition

Integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are *pairwise relatively prime* if $\gcd(a_i, a_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$.

Notes

Greatest Common Divisor

Computing

The gcd can “easily”¹ be found by finding the prime factorization of two numbers.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} a &= p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n} \\ b &= p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n} \end{aligned}$$

Where each power is a nonnegative integer (if a prime is not a divisor, then the power is 0).

Then the gcd is simply

$$\gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\min\{a_1, b_1\}} p_2^{\min\{a_2, b_2\}} \cdots p_n^{\min\{a_n, b_n\}}$$

¹Easy *conceptually*, not computationally

Notes

Greatest Common Divisor

Examples

Example

What is the $\gcd(6600, 12740)$?

The prime decompositions are

$$6600 = 2^3 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^0 \cdot 11^1 \cdot 13^0$$

$$12740 = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^0 \cdot 13^1$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(6600, 12740) &= 2^{\min\{2,3\}} 3^{\min\{0,1\}} 5^{\min\{1,2\}} 7^{\min\{0,2\}} \\ &\quad 11^{\min\{0,1\}} 13^{\min\{0,1\}} \\ &= 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^0 \cdot 11^0 \cdot 13^0 \\ &= 20 \end{aligned}$$

Notes

Least Common Multiple

Definition

The *least common multiple* of positive integers a, b is the smallest positive integer that is divisible by both a and b . It is denoted

$$\text{lcm}(a, b)$$

Again, the lcm has an “easy” method to compute. We still use the prime decomposition, but use the max rather than the min of powers.

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = p_1^{\max\{a_1, b_1\}} p_2^{\max\{a_2, b_2\}} \dots p_n^{\max\{a_n, b_n\}}$$

Notes

Least Common Multiple

Example

Example

What is the $\text{lcm}(6600, 12740)$?

Again, the prime decompositions are

$$6600 = 2^3 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^0 \cdot 11^1 \cdot 13^0$$

$$12740 = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^0 \cdot 13^1$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lcm}(6600, 12740) &= 2^{\max\{2,3\}} 3^{\max\{0,1\}} 5^{\max\{1,2\}} 7^{\max\{0,2\}} \\ &\quad 11^{\max\{0,1\}} 13^{\max\{0,1\}} \\ &= 2^3 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^1 \cdot 13^1 \\ &= 4,204,200 \end{aligned}$$

Notes

Intimate Connection

There is a very close connection between the gcd and lcm.

Theorem

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then

$$ab = \gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b)$$

Proof?

Notes

Congruences

Definition

Often, rather than the quotient, we are only interested in the remainder of a division operation. We introduced the notation before, but we formally define it here.

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then a is *congruent to b modulo m* if m divides $a - b$. We use the notation

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

If the congruence does not hold, we write $a \not\equiv b \pmod{m}$

Notes

Congruences

Another Characterization

An equivalent characterization can be given as follows.

Theorem

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if and only if there exists $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$a = qm + b$$

i.e. a quotient q .

Alert: $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. can be negative or positive.

Notes

Congruences

Properties

Theorem

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then,

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m} \iff a \bmod m = b \bmod m$$

Theorem

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ then

$$a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$$

and

$$ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$$

Notes

Modular Arithmetic

Example

Example

- ▶ $36 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ since the remainder of $\frac{36}{5}$ is 1.
- ▶ Similarly, $-17 \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$, $-17 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $-17 \equiv 3 \pmod{2}$, etc.
- ▶ However, we prefer to express congruences with $0 \leq b < m$.
- ▶ $64 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $64 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $64 \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, $64 \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, $64 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$, etc.

Notes

Inverses I

Definition

An *inverse* of an element x modulo m is an integer x^{-1} such that

$$xx^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

Inverses do not always exist, take $x = 5, m = 10$ for example.

The following is a necessary and sufficient condition for an inverse to exist.

Theorem

Let a and m be integers, $m > 1$. A (unique) inverse of a modulo m exists if and only if a and m are relatively prime.

Notes
